

# TEST BANK



SOUTH-WESTERN LEGAL STUDIES IN BUSINESS  
ACADEMIC SERIES

## EMPLOYMENT AND LABOR LAW

PATRICK J. CILON  
JAMES OTTAVIO CASTAGNERA



7E

## TEST BANK

### Chapter 2

#### EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS AND WRONGFUL DISCHARGE

##### True/False Questions

- \_\_\_\_\_ 1. Common law deals with issues of wrongful discharge.  
ANSWER: T
- \_\_\_\_\_ 2. If the statute itself provides the employee with a cause of action, the courts are reluctant to recognize an alternative remedy in the form of a lawsuit for wrongful discharge.  
ANSWER: T
- \_\_\_\_\_ 3. Employees cannot be terminated under the public policy exception.  
ANSWER: F
- \_\_\_\_\_ 4. Pennsylvania Human Relations Act provides that a person fired on the basis of gender or race discretion has to initially seek redress from the commission created under the Act and not with the court.  
ANSWER: T
- \_\_\_\_\_ 5. The opinion of a judge or appellate panel of judges that are tangential to the rule, holding, and decision which are at the core of the judicial pronouncement is known as dicta.  
ANSWER: T
- \_\_\_\_\_ 6. The National Labor Relations Act (NLRA) forbids firing employees for engaging in protected concerted activities.  
ANSWER: T
- \_\_\_\_\_ 7. An implied contract is a contract which is made either verbally or in writing.  
ANSWER: F
- \_\_\_\_\_ 8. Public Policy Exception is not a commonly adopted exception to the pure employment-at-will rule.  
ANSWER: F
- \_\_\_\_\_ 9. Section 3(a) of the Model Employment Termination Act provides that an employer cannot terminate the service of an employee without giving good cause.  
ANSWER: T
- \_\_\_\_\_ 10. The provision of Sarbanes-Oxley Act amended the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA).  
ANSWER: T
- \_\_\_\_\_ 11. Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA), does not offer protection to employees who cooperate during investigations or testify at hearings from employer retaliation, such as employment termination.  
ANSWER: F

\_\_\_\_\_ 12. A whistleblower is an employee who reports his or her employer's illegal activities to the appropriate governmental entity.

ANSWER: T

\_\_\_\_\_ 13. Section 10 of the Model Employment Termination Act forbids retaliation by employees who make claims or who testify under the procedural provisions of the META.

ANSWER: F

\_\_\_\_\_ 14. The drawback under Sarbanes-Oxley Act is criminal provision, which used to punish people who provided information to law enforcing agencies relating to commission of any federal offences.

ANSWER: T

\_\_\_\_\_ 15. In the case of *Brady v. Calyon Securities (USA)*, Brady brings a claim under Section 806 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act which protects employees of public companies from retaliation by the companies for engaging in certain whistleblowing activities.

ANSWER: T

### **Multiple Choice Questions**

1. The law which is created by judges opposed to statutes and legislation for the equity, justice and conscious is called:

- a. common law.
- b. codified law.
- c. statutory law.
- d. ordinance.

ANSWER: (a)

2. Which of the following laws forbid the discharge of an employee on the basis of race, color, gender, creed, or national origin?

- a. National Labor Relations Act
- b. Title VII of Civil Rights Act
- c. Equal Employment Opportunities Act
- d. Sarbanes-Oxley Act

ANSWER: (b)

3. An employee who has not been hired for more than a year can be fired by the employer for any reason or for no reason. This is the doctrine of:

- a. self employed.
- b. employment-at-will.
- c. contractual employed.
- d. whistleblowers.

ANSWER: (b)

4. Courts are reluctant to recognize an alternative remedy if the statute itself provides an employee with a cause of action in the form of a lawsuit for:

- a. willful misconduct.
- b. negligence.
- c. wrongful discharge.
- d. tort.

ANSWER: (c)

5. The exception under the employment-at-will rule, where the employer cannot fire an employee from employment for exercising a legal right or fulfilling that legal duty created by a statute, is called:
- court order.
  - administrative action.
  - public policy exception.
  - statutory exception.
- ANSWER: (c)
6. In Pennsylvania, if an employee is fired on the basis of gender or race discrimination, then State law remedy is provided under the Pennsylvania:
- Occupational Discrimination Act.
  - Human Relation Act.
  - Labor Relation Act.
  - Gender and Race Discrimination Act.
- ANSWER: (b)
7. A private or civil wrong or injury caused by one party to another, either intentionally or negligently is a:
- breach of contract.
  - criminal act.
  - tort.
  - act of god.
- ANSWER: (c)
8. Some employees have express contracts of employment, usually for a definite duration. Others fall within the coverage of a(n) \_\_\_\_\_ negotiated for them by their union.
- collective bargaining agreement
  - employment bargaining agreement
  - cooperative bargaining agreement
  - negotiable agreement
- ANSWER: (a)
9. Contracts that courts infer from company policies and the behavior of the parties are known as:
- implied contract.
  - void contract.
  - express contract.
  - voidable contract.
- ANSWER: (a)
10. Section 3(a) of the Model Employment Termination Act (META) protects employees from wrongful termination from employment and states that:
- an employer may not terminate employment of an employee without good cause.
  - an employer can terminate employment of an employee with perverse reason.
  - an employer cannot terminate an employee without government consent.
  - an employer may not terminate an employee from work without court order.
- ANSWER: (a)
11. The provision of Uniform Employment Termination Act deals with protection of employees from:
- misdemeanors.
  - wrongful discharge.

- c. government order.
- d. court order.

ANSWER: (b)

12. An employee who complains against his or her employer pertaining to fraud and corruption is protected under the provision of:

- a. Occupational Discrimination Act.
- b. Model Employment Termination Act.
- c. Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- d. Wage Act.

ANSWER: (c)

13. An employee who blows whistle against his or her employer's illegal practices or activities is protected under the:

- a. Equal wage Act.
- b. Civil Procedure Act.
- c. Gender Discrimination Act.
- d. OSHA.

ANSWER: (d)

14. Which Act was passed by Congress on July 30, 2002 and signed by the president for the protection of whistleblowers?

- a. Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- b. National Labor Relation Board
- c. Occupational Safety and Health Act
- d. Wage Act

ANSWER: (a)

15. An Act which makes it illegal to fire an employee in retaliation for filing a safety complaint is covered under the provision of:

- a. Title VII of Civil Rights Act.
- b. National Labor Relations Act.
- c. Occupational Safety and Health Act.
- d. Public Policy Exception.

ANSWER: (c)

16. Employees of public companies are protected from retaliation for engaging in certain whistleblowing activities under:

- a. Section 30 of OSHA.
- b. Title VII.
- c. Section 40 of NLRA.
- d. Section 806 of SOX.

ANSWER: (d)

17. Many federal and state statutes seek to protect whistleblowers from the employer's retaliation by declaring such retaliation as:

- a. illegal acts.
- b. defenses.
- c. legal rights.
- d. improper conduct.

ANSWER: (a)

18. Identify the case in which the Supreme Court upheld the dismissal of a lawsuit brought by a salesman who was fired for refusing to sell what he insisted to management was an unsafe product?
- Knox v. Board of School Directors of Susquenita School District*
  - Geary v. United State Steel Corporation*
  - Asmus v. Pacific Bell*
  - Marcus v. KFG Employment Services, Inc*
- ANSWER: (b)
19. The *Knox v. Board of School Directors of Susquenita School District*, involved which of the following issues?
- seniority
  - antidiscrimination laws
  - employment-at-will
  - public policy exception
- ANSWER: (c)
20. In the case of *Asmus v. Pacific Bell*, the court concluded that the employer may unilaterally terminate a policy that contains a specified condition except if the:
- condition is one of indefinite duration.
  - condition is one of a definite duration.
  - employer effects the change after a reasonable time.
  - change is without interfering with the employees' vested benefits.
- ANSWER: (b)
21. In the case of *Marcus v. KFG Employment Services, Inc*, the court held that when parties indicate in a contract that the contract is to be a full and complete integration of their agreement, the courts have:
- left the parties to decide amicably.
  - given this expressed declaration a limited effect.
  - given this expressed declaration full effect.
  - rejected this expressed declaration as null and void.
- ANSWER: (c)
22. In the case of *Kritzer v. Curators of University of Missouri*, the court held that Kritzer was entitled to a hearing before the grievance committee and an appeal to the Board of Curators. However, this:
- did not change her status as a contract employee.
  - changed her status as an at-will employee.
  - did not change her status as an at-will employee.
  - changed her status as a state official.
- ANSWER: (b)
23. In the case of *Brady v. Calyon Securities (USA)*, Brady was promoted and began reporting to Schindler. Brady objected to reporting directly to Schindler, who was the head of the investment banking department, because both NASD and NYSE rules and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act:
- forbid a research analyst from being supervised or controlled by an employee in the investment banking department.
  - allow a research analyst to report directly only to head of the investment department.
  - state that the head of the investment banking department has no authority to deal with the analyst.
  - state that a research analyst is an independent person and is not constrained to any single department.

ANSWER: (a)

24. Kelly was an employee of a retail outlet. Although the nature of the work and duties performed by both male and female workers were comparable, the remuneration paid to male workers was significantly higher compared to the female employees. When Kelly brought this to the attention of the management and objected to the difference in pay, her services were terminated without good cause. Under which law can she challenge her termination?

- a. Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- b. National Labor Relation Board
- c. Occupational Safety and Health Act
- d. Model Employment Termination Act

ANSWER: (d)

25. Muriel is the owner of a garment factory in New York City. Ten months back she hired 20 employees to work in his factory, but due to the economic downturn she decided to let go of the new hires in order to save her business. All 20 employees were fired and no explanation was provided. This action is perfectly legal and covered by the doctrine of:

- a. implied contract.
- b. good faith and fair dealing.
- c. employment-at-will.
- d. necessity.

ANSWER: (c)

26. Steven is the Executive Director at a telecom company in Texas. He regularly misappropriated company funds which belonged to the employee benefit plan and several clients. Robert, his corporate secretary was aware of his superior's illegal activities. He brought this to the attention of law enforcing agencies. What is Robert's role in the above scenario?

- a. Spokesperson
- b. Whistleblower
- c. Gatekeeper
- d. Outworker

ANSWER: (b)

27. Robert is a respected member of the Santa Clara community and works with a local architectural firm. He was selected by the court to perform jury duty on a case. The case went on for several days and caused Robert to miss work for the entire duration. Upon his return, he discovered that his team member had replaced him and his services were abruptly terminated. In this scenario Robert can challenge his termination under:

- a. the public policy exception.
- b. Title VII.
- c. the National Labor Relation Act.
- d. the U. S. Constitution.

ANSWER: (a)

28. Brett (defendant) entered into employment contract with Krista (plaintiff). Brett had hired Krista as a staff assistant at a pay rate of \$20 per hour. Krista's duties consisted of driving trucks and making deliveries. Although Krista worked for 120 hours in total, she was paid only for part of her services which did not abide by the terms and conditions agreed in the employment contract. Krista has most likely instituted a suit against Brett for:

- a. breach of trust.
- b. breach of contract.

- c. gender discrimination.
- d. wrongful discharge.

ANSWER: (b)

29. Linda was employed with General Mills in Minnesota for over three years. She was a highly productive employee and was known as the star performer on her team. Due to the economic recession the company had to lay off a large number of employees, and she was one of the employees who was asked to leave without being provided good cause or an explanation. In this scenario, Linda is protected under Section 3(a) of META which says that an:

- a. employee can be fired without any reason.
- b. employee may not be terminated without good cause.
- c. employee can be terminated in financial crises.
- d. employee may not be terminated with good cause.

ANSWER: (b)

30. Peter, an employee of Light Ware was terminated from his job without wages and benefits for a period of three months. This was in violation of META. Under META his claim is subject to:

- a. Court.
- b. Administration.
- c. Employer.
- d. Arbitration.

ANSWER: (d)

31. Alex is an employee of a local bank. He became aware that his manager was misleading the company by misusing company funds, securities and employee funds. Alex dutifully reported these activities to the law enforcing agencies but was laid off from his job when the management became aware of his actions. In this scenario Alex is specifically protected from retaliation by his organization under:

- a. Section 806 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- b. Section 304 of the OSHA
- c. Title VII
- d. Section 203 of Gender Discrimination Act

ANSWER: (a)

32. Adam was an employee of ABC Corporation and he discovered the management was hiring illegal immigrants in most of its factories. He raised objection against the employer's practices and provided information to the law enforcing agencies regarding the illegal activities. The employer retaliated against Adam by terminating his employment. In this scenario, Adam is protected under:

- a. Pennsylvania Human Relation Act which prohibits employee retaliation.
- b. Section 203 and Section 806 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act for illegal strikes.
- c. OSHA and Title VII which protect employees who blow the whistle on illegal practice.
- d. Section 203 of Title VII for breach of contract.

ANSWER: (c)

33. Watson, a Director of a telecom company in Florida, entered into a written contract with Carter Telecon. The contract outlined the services his company would provide in exchange for a fixed monthly rate. This is an example of:

- a. an implied contract.
- b. an express contract.
- c. a specification of contract.
- d. a breach of contract.

ANSWER: (b)



34. Brandy and Matthew are neighbors and live in Texas. Matthew was renovating his house, which caused dust and debris to collect in Brandy's front yard. Unfortunately her son was highly allergic to these particulates and fell grievously ill. In this case, Matthew violates:
- the U.S. Constitution
  - the Labor Act.
  - tort laws.
  - breach of trust.
- ANSWER: (c)

35. Lionel, an African American resident of Pennsylvania was employed in a garment factory. He had a public fallout with his white male co-worker, Tom. Following this, Lionel was fired from his job while Tom was let off with a warning. Lionel contended that he was fired because of his race. In this scenario, Lionel is most likely to file his case under:
- the Gender Discrimination Act.
  - tort laws.
  - the Labor Act.
  - the Pennsylvania Human Relation Act.
- ANSWER: (d)

### **Short Answer Questions**

1. Explain the meaning of the term whistleblower.  
ANSWER: An employee who reports his/her employer's illegal activities to the appropriate governmental entity or, under some state statutes, to the board of directors or senior management of the firm is known as a whistleblower.
2. What is employment-at-will?  
ANSWER: A kind of employment wherein both the employee and the employer are free to unilaterally terminate the relationship at any time and for any legally permissible reason, or for no reason at all.
3. What is the exception under employment-at-will?  
ANSWER: The most common exception to the employment-at-will rule is the public policy exception. Under this although the employee is employed at-will, termination is illegal if a clear and significant mandate of law (statutory or common) is damaged if the firing is permitted to stand unchallenged.
4. Define dicta.  
ANSWER: Opinions of a judge or appellate panel of judges that are tangential to the rule, holding, and decision which are at the core of the judicial pronouncement are known as dicta.
5. What is a tort?  
ANSWER: Tort is a private or civil wrong or injury, caused by one party to another, either intentionally or negligently.
6. What are the two most common types of contracts?  
ANSWER: Two kinds of contract are:
  - Express contract which has terms spelled out by the parties, usually in writing.
  - Implied contracts are contracts that the courts infer from company policies and the behavior of the parties or that are implied from the law.

7. What is META? Explain the importance of Section 3(a) of META.

ANSWER: META stands for Model Employment Termination Act and Section 3(a) of META prohibits the employers from terminating the employees from employment without having good reasons.

8. Identify two Acts that protect whistleblowers from employer retaliation.

ANSWER: Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) and Title VII provide protection to whistleblowing employees.

9. What is SOX?

ANSWER: SOX is the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. It protects employees who report improper conduct by corporate officials concerning securities fraud and corruption.

10. What does Section 806 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act deals with?

ANSWER: Section 806 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act protects employees of public companies from retaliation by the companies for engaging in certain whistleblowing activities.

### **Essay Questions**

1. Define the doctrine of employment-at-will? Explain its exceptions?

ANSWER: Employment-at-will holds that an employee who has not been hired for an express period of a year can be fired from his/her job by employer at any time with or without any reason. Both the employer and the employee are free unilaterally to terminate their relationship any stage without any compulsion or force. However there are exceptions under the doctrine. The most commonly adopted exceptions under employment-at-will is Public Policy exception. If a statute creates a right or a duty for the employee, he or she may not be fired for exercising that legal right or fulfilling that legal duty.

2. What is a contract? Explain the differences between express and implied contracts?

ANSWER: Contract is an agreement between two persons which is enforceable by law. The object must be legal in contract.

Express contract: A contract in which the terms are explicitly stated, usually in writing but perhaps only verbally, and often in great detail. In interpreting such a contract, the judge and/ or the jury is asked only to determine what the explicit terms are and to interpret them according to their plain meaning.

Implied contract: A contractual relationship, the terms and conditions of which must be inferred from the contracting parties' behavior toward one another.

3. Describe the Model Employment Termination Act.

ANSWER: Model Employment Termination Act (META) relates to laws pertaining to termination of an employee. The heart and soul of META in its present form is Section 3(a), which states that "an employer may not terminate the employment of an employee without good cause." Section 3(b) limits application of the "good cause" limitation on employment-at-will to workers who have been with the particular employer for at least one year. The META suggests that claims under it be subject to binding arbitration with arbitral awards being issued within thirty days of hearings. Section 10 forbids retaliation against employees who make claims or who testify under the procedural provisions of the META.

4. What are the protections for corporate whistleblowers under law?

ANSWER: Sarbanes-Oxley Act provides the protection for the corporate whistleblowers. SOX protects the employees who report for improper misconduct by corporate officials pertaining to fraud and corruption. Apart from SOX, OSHA and Title VII also protects employees who blow the whistle on illegal practices or who cooperate in investigations and testify at hearings from employer retaliation, such as employment termination.

5. Define a whistleblower and describe the protection offered to whistleblower employees.

ANSWER: A whistleblower is an employee who calls attention to the employer's illegal or unethical activities. Many federal and state statutes such as SOX, OSHA and Title VII seek to protect whistleblowers by making retaliation an illegal act. The most significant whistleblower-protection law of the 21<sup>st</sup> century is the federal Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX), which protects employees who blow the whistle on illegal financial transactions. However, whistleblowers' rights may conflict with the privacy rights of others.