## SOLUTIONS MANUAL



## CHAPTER 2

2.1 (a) The types of beverages sold yield categorical or "qualitative" responses.
(b) The types of beverages sold yield distinct categories in which no ordering is implied.
2.2 Three sizes of soft drink are classified into distinct categories-small, medium, and large-in which order is implied.
2.3 (a) The time it takes to download a video from the Internet yields numerical or "quantitative" responses.
(b) The download time is a ratio scaled variable because the true zero point in the measurement is zero units of time.
2.4 (a) The number of telephones is a numerical variable that is discrete because the outcome is a count. It is ratio scaled because it has a true zero point.
(b) The length of the longest long-distance call is a numerical variable that is continuous because any value within a range of values can occur. It is ratio scaled because it has a true zero point.
(c) Whether there is a cell phone in the household is a categorical variable because the answer can be only yes or no. This also makes it a nominal-scaled variable.
(d) Same answer as in (c).
2.5 (a) numerical, continuous, ratio scale
(b) numerical, discrete, ratio scale
(c) categorical, nominal scale
(d) categorical, nominal scale
2.6 (a) categorical, nominal scale
(b) numerical, continuous, ratio scale
(c) numerical, discrete, ratio scale
(d) numerical, discrete, ratio scale
2.7 (a) numerical, continuous, ratio scale *
(b) categorical, nominal scale
(c) categorical, nominal scale
(d) numerical, discrete, ratio scale
*Some researchers consider money as a discrete numerical variable because it can be "counted."
2.8 (a) numerical, continuous, ratio scale *
(b) numerical, discrete, ratio scale
(c) numerical, continuous, ratio scale *
(d) categorical, nominal
*Some researchers consider money as a discrete numerical variable because it can be "counted."
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2.9 (a) Income may be considered discrete if we "count" our money. It may be considered continuous if we "measure" our money; we are only limited by the way a country's monetary system treats its currency.
(b) The first format is preferred because the responses represent data measured on a higher scale.
2.10 The underlying variable, ability of the students, may be continuous, but the measuring device, the test, does not have enough precision to distinguish between the two students.
2.11 (a) The population is "all working women from the metropolitan area." A systematic or random sample could be taken of women from the metropolitan area. The director might wish to collect both numerical and categorical data.
(b) Three categorical questions might be occupation, marital status, type of clothing. Numerical questions might be age, average monthly hours shopping for clothing, income.
2.12 (a) (i) categorical, (ii) categorical, (iii) numerical discrete, (iv) categorical
(b) e.g. "Where do you usually purchase your cat food?"
(c) e.g. "How many cats do you have in your household?"
2.13 (a) (i) numerical, (ii) categorical, (iii) categorical, (iv) numerical
(b) (i) discrete, (iv) continuous*
*Some researchers consider money as a discrete numerical variable because it can be "counted."
2.14 The answers to this question depend on which data set is being selected.
2.15 The answers to this question depend on which data set is being selected.
2.16 The answer to this question depends on which top story is being selected.
2.17 The supermarket chain should use primary data collected through an observation study of the shopping behavior of their customers.
2.18 The information presented here is based on data distributed by an organization, i.e., the U.S. Census Bureau.

| (a) | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 13 | $26 \%$ |  |
| B | 28 | 56 |  |
| C | 9 | 18 |  |

(b) Category " B " is the majority.
2.20 (a) Table frequencies for all student responses

Student Major Categories

| Gender A |  | C | M | Totals |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Male | 14 | 9 | 2 | 25 |
| Female | 6 | 6 | 3 | 15 |
| Totals | 20 | 15 | 5 | 40 |

(b) Table percentages based on overall student responses

Student Major Categories
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Gender A } & \text { C } & \text { M Totals }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Male } & 35.0 \% & 22.5 \% & 5.0 \% & 62.5 \%\end{array}$
Female 15.0\% 15.0\% 7.5\% 37.5\%
Totals $50.0 \% \quad 37.5 \% \quad 12.5 \% \quad 100.0 \%$
Table based on row percentages Student Major Categories
Gender A $\quad$ C $\quad$ M Totals
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Male } & 56.0 \% & 36.0 \% & 8.0 \% & 100.0 \%\end{array}$
Female $40.0 \% \quad 40.0 \% \quad 20.0 \% \quad 100.0 \%$
Totals $50.0 \% \quad 37.5 \% \quad 12.5 \% \quad 100.0 \%$
Table based on column percentages
Student Major Categories
Gender A C M Totals
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Male } & 70.0 \% & 60.0 \% & 40.0 \% & 62.5 \%\end{array}$
Female $30.0 \% ~ 40.0 \% ~ 60.0 \% ~ 37.5 \%$
Totals $100.0 \% 100.0 \% 100.0 \% 100.0 \%$
2.21 (a)

| Category | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Flammables/Irritants | 8,350 | $59.26 \%$ |
| Knives and blades | 4,134 | $29.34 \%$ |
| Prohibited tools | 753 | $5.34 \%$ |
| Sharp objects | 497 | $3.53 \%$ |
| Other | 357 | $2.53 \%$ |
| Total | 14,091 | $100.00 \%$ |

(b) Flammables, irritants, knives and blades made up almost $90 \%$ of the banned items.
2.22 (a)
(b) Three sources of electricity dominate the U.S. electricity generation with coal being the major source at $48.52 \%$ followed by natural gas at $21.33 \%$ and nuclear $19.61 \%$.
2.23
(a)

| Category | Cost per Household | Percentage |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Civil servant retirement | 15,851 | $2.90 \%$ |
| Federal debt | 54,537 | $9.97 \%$ |
| Medicare | 284,288 | $52.00 \%$ |
| Military retirement | 29,694 | $5.43 \%$ |
| Social security | 160,216 | $29.30 \%$ |
| Other | 2,172 | $0.40 \%$ |
| Total | 546,758 | $100.00 \%$ |

(b) Medicare at $52 \%$ and social security at $29.3 \%$ together made up more than $80 \%$ of the debt.
2.24 (a) Table of total percentages

|  | Gender |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Enjoy Shopping for <br> Clothing | Male | Female | Total |
| Yes | $27 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| No | $21 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Total | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Table of row percentages

|  | Gender |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Enjoy Shopping for <br> Clothing | Male | Female | Total |
| Yes | $38 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| No | $74 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Table of column percentages

|  | Gender |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Enjoy Shopping for <br> Clothing | Male | Female | Total |
| Yes | $57 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| No | $43 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

(b) The percentage of shoppers who enjoy shopping for clothing is higher among females than males.
2.25 (a)

| Table of total percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shift |  |  |  |
|  | Day | Evening |  |
| Nonconforming | $1.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Conforming | $65.4 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| Total | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Table of row percentages
Shift
Day Evening
Nonconforming 40\% 60\% 100\%
Conforming $68 \% \quad 32 \% \quad 100 \%$
Total $67 \% \quad 33 \% \quad 100 \%$

Table of column percentages
Shift

|  | Day |  | Evening |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Nonconforming | $2 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Conforming | $98 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

(b) The row percentages allow us to block the effect of disproportionate group size and show us that the pattern for day and evening tests among the nonconforming group is very different from the pattern for day and evening tests among the conforming group. Where $40 \%$ of the nonconforming group was tested during the day, $68 \%$ of the conforming group was tested during the day.
(c) The director of the lab may be able to cut the number of nonconforming tests by reducing the number of tests run in the evening, when there is a higher percent of tests run improperly.
2.26 The percentage of MBA and undergraduate students who choose the lowest cost fund and the second-lowest cost fund is about the same. A higher percentage of MBA students choose the third-lowest cost fund while a higher percentage of undergraduate students choose the highest cost fund.
2.27 Ordered array: 63646871758894
2.30 (a) The class boundaries of the 9 classes can be " 10 to less than 20 ", " 20 to less than 30 ", " 30 to less than 40 ", " 40 to less than 50 ", " 50 to less than 60 ", " 60 to less than 70 ", " 70 to less than 80 ", " 80 to less than 90 ", and " 90 to less than 100 ".
(b) The class-interval width is $=\frac{97.8-11.6}{9}=9.58 \cong 10$.
(c) The nine class midpoints are: $15,25,35,45,55,65,75,85$, and 95 .
2.31 (a) Ordered array: $\operatorname{Cost}(\$) 114,135,141,145,146,151,158,161,162,164,165,166$, $170,170,172,180,185,187,205,210,215,216,220,222,223,224,259,305,326$, 411
(b) PHStat output:

| Bin Cell | Frequency | Percentage |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 110 but less than 150 | 5 | $16.67 \%$ |
| 150 but less than 190 | 13 | $43.33 \%$ |
| 190 but less than 230 | 8 | $26.67 \%$ |
| 230 but less than 270 | 1 | $3.33 \%$ |
| 270 but less than 310 | 1 | $3.33 \%$ |
| 310 but less than 350 | 1 | $3.33 \%$ |
| 350 but less than 390 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 390 but less than 430 | 1 | $3.33 \%$ |

(c) The costs of attending a baseball game is concentrating around $\$ 170$ for thirteen of the teams have costs in between $\$ 150$ and $\$ 190$.
2.32
(a)

| Electricity Costs | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 80$ to $\$ 99$ | 4 | $8 \%$ |
| $\$ 100$ to $\$ 119$ | 7 | 14 |
| $\$ 120$ to $\$ 139$ | 9 | 18 |
| $\$ 140$ to $\$ 159$ | 13 | 26 |
| $\$ 160$ to $\$ 179$ | 9 | 18 |
| $\$ 180$ to $\$ 199$ | 5 | 10 |
| $\$ 200$ to $\$ 219$ | 3 | 6 |

(b)

| Electricity Costs | Frequency | Percentage | Cumulative \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 99$ | 4 | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $\$ 119$ | 7 | $14 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| $\$ 139$ | 9 | $18 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $\$ 159$ | 13 | $26 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| $\$ 179$ | 9 | $18 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| $\$ 199$ | 5 | $10 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| $\$ 219$ | 3 | $6 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

(c) The majority of utility charges are clustered between $\$ 120$ and $\$ 180$.
2.33 (a), (b)

| Bin | Frequency | Percentage | Cumulative $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -0.00350 but less than -0.00201 | 13 | $13.00 \%$ | $13.00 \%$ |
| -0.00200 but less than -0.00051 | 26 | $26.00 \%$ | $39.00 \%$ |
| -0.00050 but less than 0.00099 | 32 | $32.00 \%$ | $71.00 \%$ |
| 0.00100 but less than 0.00249 | 20 | $20.00 \%$ | $91.00 \%$ |
| 0.00250 but less than 0.00399 | 8 | $8.00 \%$ | $99.00 \%$ |
| 0.004 but less than 0.00549 | 1 | $1.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

(c) Yes, the steel mill is doing a good job at meeting the requirement as there is only one steel part out of a sample of 100 that is as much as 0.005 inches longer than the specified requirement.
2.34 (a), (b)

| Bin | Frequency | Percentage | Cumulative $\%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $8.310--8.329$ | 3 | $6.12 \%$ | $6.12 \%$ |
| $8.330--8.349$ | 2 | $4.08 \%$ | $10.20 \%$ |
| $8.350--8.369$ | 1 | $2.04 \%$ | $12.24 \%$ |
| $8.370--8.389$ | 4 | $8.16 \%$ | $20.41 \%$ |
| $8.390--8.409$ | 4 | $8.16 \%$ | $28.57 \%$ |
| $8.410--8.429$ | 15 | $30.61 \%$ | $59.18 \%$ |
| $8.430--8.449$ | 7 | $14.29 \%$ | $73.47 \%$ |
| $8.450--8.469$ | 5 | $10.20 \%$ | $83.67 \%$ |
| $8.470--8.489$ | 5 | $10.20 \%$ | $93.88 \%$ |
| $8.490--8.509$ | 3 | $6.12 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

(c) All the troughs will meet the company's requirements of between 8.31 and 8.61 inches wide.
2.35 (a),(b)

| Strength | Frequency | Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1500--1549$ | 1 | $3.33 \%$ | $3.33 \%$ |
| $1550--1599$ | 2 | $6.67 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ |
| $1600-1649$ | 2 | $6.67 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ |
| $1650--1699$ | 7 | $23.33 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ |
| $1700-1749$ | 5 | $16.67 \%$ | $56.67 \%$ |
| $1750-1799$ | 7 | $23.33 \%$ | $80.00 \%$ |
| $1800--1849$ | 3 | $10.00 \%$ | $90.00 \%$ |
| $1850-1899$ | 3 | $10.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

(c) The strength of all the insulators meets the company's requirement of at least 1500 lbs.
2.36 (a)

| Bulb Life (hrs) | Frequency <br> Manufacturer A | Bulb Life (hrs) | Frequency <br> Manufacturer B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $650--749$ | 3 |  | $750--849$ | 2 |
| $750-849$ | 5 |  | $850--949$ | 8 |
| $850--949$ | 20 |  | $950--1049$ | 16 |
| $950--1049$ | 9 |  | $1050--1149$ | 9 |
| $1050--1149$ | 3 |  | $1150--1249$ | 5 |

(b)
cont.

| Bulb Life (hrs) | A |  | B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | Cumulative \% | Percentage | Cumulative \% |
| $650-749$ | $7.50 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ | $.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| $750-849$ | $12.50 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $.00 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| $850-949$ | $50.00 \%$ | $70.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| $950-1049$ | $22.50 \%$ | $92.50 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $65.00 \%$ |
| $1050-1149$ | $7.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $87.50 \%$ |
| $1150-1249$ | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

(c) Manufacturer B produces bulbs with longer lives than Manufacturer A. The cumulative percentage for Manufacturer B shows $65 \%$ of its bulbs lasted less than 1,050 hours, contrasted with $70 \%$ of Manufacturer A's bulbs, which lasted less than 950 hours. None of Manufacturer A's bulbs lasted more than 1,149 hours, but $12.5 \%$ of Manufacturer B's bulbs lasted between 1,150 and 1,249 hours. At the same time, $7.5 \%$ of Manufacturer A's bulbs lasted less than 750 hours, whereas all of Manufacturer B's bulbs lasted at least 750 hours
2.37 (a) Amount of

| Soft Drink | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| $1.850-1.899$ | 1 | $2 \%$ |
| $1.900-1.949$ | 5 | 10 |
| $1.950-1.999$ | 18 | 36 |
| $2.000-2.049$ | 19 | 38 |
| $2.050-2.099$ | 6 | 12 |
| $2.100-2.149$ | 1 | 2 |

Amount of Frequency Percentage
Soft Drink Less Than Less Than
1.85-1.89 1 2\%
$\begin{array}{lll}1.90-1.94 & 6 & 12\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}1.95-1.99 & 24 & 48\end{array}$
2.00-2.04 $43 \quad 86$
$\begin{array}{lll}2.05-2.09 & 49 & 98\end{array}$
2.10-2.14 $50 \quad 100$
(b) The amount of soft drink filled in the two liter bottles is most concentrated in two intervals on either side of the two-liter mark, from 1.950 to 1.999 and from 2.000 to 2.049 liters. Almost three-fourths of the 50 bottles sampled contained between 1.950 liters and 2.049 liters.
2.38 (a)

2.38 (b) The Pareto diagram is better than the pie chart to portray these data because it not cont. only sorts the frequencies in descending order, it also provides the cumulative polygon on the same scale.
(c) You can conclude that friends/family account for the largest percentage of $45 \%$. When other, news media, and online user reviews are added to friends/family, this accounts for $83 \%$.
2.39 (a)

(b) The Pareto diagram is better than the pie chart or the bar chart because it not only sorts the frequencies in descending order, it also provides the cumulative polygon on the same scale.
(c) From the Pareto diagram, it is obvious that more than $50 \%$ would pay off their debt with $\$ 1$ million.
2.40 (a)

(b) From the Pareto chart, about $90 \%$ of power is derived from coal, nuclear, or natural gas.
(c)

(d) The Pareto chart allows you to see which sources account for most of the electricity.
2.41 (a)


(b) The bar chart is more suitable if the purpose is to compare the categories. The pie chart is more suitable if the main objective is to investigate the portion of the whole that is in a particular category. *

* Note: This is one of the many possible solutions for the question.
(c) You can conclude that most of the people (39\%) scan Internet search results according to the "first page of search results", followed by "a few search results" (23\%) and "first two pages" (19\%).
2.42 (a)

(b) Because a large percentage of students are from Asia, the Pareto chart allows you to focus on this dominant group. Almost sixty percent are from Asia. Including Asia, Europe and the Latin America represents $83 \%$ of all the foreign students.
(c) From the Pareto chart, almost half of the foreign students studying at the U.S. colleges are from Asia.
(a)

(b) The Pareto diagram is better than the pie chart because it not only sorts the frequencies in descending order, it also provides the cumulative polygon on the same scale.
(c) From the Pareto chart, beef, chicken and seafood make up $80 \%$ of what folks want sizzling on the grill during barbecue season.
2.44 (a)

(b) A higher percentage of females enjoy shopping for clothing.
2.45 (a)

| Side-by-side Bar Chart |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Conforming Nonconforming |  |  |
| Evening | - 24 | 306 |
| Day | \| 16 | 654 |

(b) The director of the lab may be able to cut the number of nonconforming tests by reducing the number of tests run in the evening, when there is a higher percent of tests run improperly.
2.46 (a)

(b) The percentage of MBA and undergraduate students who choose the lowest-cost fund and the second-lowest-cost fund is about the same. A higher percentage of MBA students chose the third-lowest cost fund whereas a higher percentage of undergraduate students chose the highest cost fund.
2.47 Stem-and-leaf of Finance Scores

| 5 | 34 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 9 |
| 7 | 4 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 9 | 38 |

2.48 Ordered array: 50747476818992
2.49 (a) $\quad$ Ordered array: $\quad 9.1 \quad 9.4 \quad 9.710 .010 .210 .210 .310 .811 .111 .2$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}11.5 & 11.5 & 11.6 & 11.6 & 11.7 & 11.7 & 11.7 & 12.2 & 12.2 & 12.3\end{array}$
$12.412 .812 .913 .0 \quad 13.2$
(b) The stem-and-leaf display conveys more information than the ordered array. We can more readily determine the arrangement of the data from the stem-and-leaf display than we can from the ordered array. We can also obtain a sense of the distribution of the data from the stem-and-leaf display.
(c) The most likely gasoline purchase is between 11 and 11.9 gallons.
(d) Yes, the third row is the most frequently occurring stem in the display and it is located in the center of the distribution.
2.50 (a) Stem-and-Leaf Display Stem unit: 10

| Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sample Size | 30 |
| Mean | 196.9333 |
| Median | 176 |
| Std. Deviation | 62.26857 |
| Minimum | 114 |
| Maximum | 411 |


| 114 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | 156 |
| 15 | 18 |
| 16 | 12456 |
| 17 | 002 |
| 18 | 057 |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | 5 |
| 21 | 056 |
| 22 | 0234 |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 | 9 |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |
| 29 |  |
| 30 | 5 |
| 31 |  |
| 32 |  |
| 33 |  |
| 34 |  |
| 35 |  |
| 36 |  |
| $37$ |  |
| 38 |  |
| 39 |  |
| 40 |  |
| 41 | 1 |
|  |  |

(b) The results are concentrated between $\$ 160$ and $\$ 225$.
2.51 (a) Ordered array: $\operatorname{Cost}(\$) 0.55,0.57,0.57,0.68,0.72,0.77,0.86,0.90,0.92,0.94,1.14$, 1.41, 1.42, 1.51
(b)

| Stem-and-LeafDisplay |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Stem | 0.1 |
| 5 | 577 |
| 6 | 8 |
| 7 | 27 |
| 8 | 6 |
| 9 | 024 |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | 4 |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | 12 |
| 15 | 1 |

(c) The stem-and-leaf display conveys more information than the ordered array. We can more readily determine the arrangement of the data from the stem-and-leaf display than we can from the ordered array. We can also obtain a sense of the distribution of the data from the stem-and-leaf display.
(d) The cost does not appear to be concentrated around any value.
2.52 (a)


2.52 (b) cont.

Cumulative Percentage Polygon

(c) The majority of utility charges are clustered between $\$ 120$ and $\$ 180$.
2.53 The costs of attending a baseball game is concentrating around $\$ 160$ for nine of the teams. Six teams have costs centered around $\$ 220$. There are a few outliers in the right tail with one team having a cost higher than $\$ 410$.
2.54 The property taxes per capita appear to be right-skewed with approximately $90 \%$ falling between $\$ 399$ and $\$ 1,700$, and the remaining $10 \%$ fall between $\$ 1,700$ and $\$ 2,100$. The center is at about $\$ 1,000$.
2.55 (a)

(b) Yes, the steel mill is doing a good job at meeting the requirement as there is only one steel part out of a sample of 100 that is as much as 0.005 inches longer than the specified requirement.
2.56 (a)


(b)

(c) All the troughs will meet the company's requirements of between 8.31 and 8.61 inches wide.
(a)


Percentage Polygon

(b)

Cumulative Percentage Polygon

(c) The strength of all the insulators meets the company's requirement of at least 1500 .
2.58 (a)



(b)

2.59 (a)
(b)
b)
(c) Manufacturer B produces bulbs with longer lives than Manufacturer A. The cumulative percentage for Manufacturer B shows 65\% of their bulbs lasted 1049 hours or less contrasted with $70 \%$ of Manufacturer A's bulbs which lasted 949 hours or less. None of Manufacturer A's bulbs lasted more than 1149 hours, but $12.5 \%$ of Manufacturer B's bulbs lasted between 1150 and 1249 hours. At the same time, 7.5\% of Manufacturer A's bulbs lasted less than 750 hours, while all of Manufacturer B's bulbs lasted at least 750 hours.


2.59 (b)
cont.

(c) The amount of soft drink filled in the two liter bottles is most concentrated in two intervals on either side of the two-liter mark, from 1.950 to 1.999 and from 2.000 to 2.049 liters. Almost three-fourths of the 50 bottles sampled contained between 1.950 liters and 2.049 liters.
2.60 (a)

(b) Yes, there is a strong positive relationship between $X$ and $Y$. As $X$ increases, so does $Y$.
2.61
(a)

(b) Annual sales appear to be increasing in the earlier years before 2002 but start to decline after 2004.
2.62 (a)

(b) There is a positive relationship between owner mileage and current government standard mileage.
2.63 (a)

(b) There appears to be a positive relationship between the calories and total fat in veggie burgers.
2.64 (a) Yes, schools with higher revenues will also have higher coach's salaries.
(b)

(c) There appears to be a positive relationship between coaches' salary and revenue. Yes, this is borne out by the data.
2.65 (a)

(b) There is a positive relationship between Wonderlic score and graduation rate.
(a) Excel output:
(b) The unemployment rate trended upward and leveled off at around 6\% by December 2001. Around October 2003, it started to trend downward and reached about $4.5 \%$ by December 2006 before staying between $4.5 \%$ and $5 \%$ in 2007. It then trended upward and reached 7.2\% in December 2008.
2.67 (a)

(b) There does not appear to be any obvious pattern present in the data.
2.68 (a)

(b) There is an obvious increasing trend from 2004 to 2008 with a sharp increase in 2008.
(c) You would predict about 6.5 to 7 million in 2009.
2.69 (a)

(b) The rates have a cyclical component and appear to be on the upswing in 2006.
(c) You would predict that the rate in 2007 will be around $\$ 110$.
(a)

| Count of Ris |  | Objective |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Risk | Growth | Value | Grand Total |
| $\square$ Large Cap | Average | 97 | 77 | 174 |
|  | High | 116 | 11 | 127 |
|  | Low | 18 | 131 | 149 |
| Large Cap Total |  | 231 | 219 | 450 |
| $\boxminus$ Mid Cap | Average | 32 | 23 | 55 |
|  | High | 76 | 10 | 86 |
|  | Low | 3 | 30 | 33 |
| Mid Cap Total |  | 111 | 63 | 174 |
| $\square$ Small Cap | Average | 11 | 71 | 82 |
|  | High | 110 | 32 | 142 |
|  | Low | 1 | 19 | 20 |
| Small Cap Total |  | 122 | 122 | 244 |
| Grand Total |  | 464 | 404 | 868 |
| Count of Obj |  | Objective |  |  |
| Category | Risk | Growth | Value | Grand Total |
| $\square$ Large Cap | Average | 11.18\% | 8.87\% | 20.05\% |
|  | High | 13.36\% | 1.27\% | 14.63\% |
|  | Low | 2.07\% | 15.09\% | 17.17\% |
| Large Cap Total |  | 26.61\% | 25.23\% | 51.84\% |
| $\square$ Mid Cap | Average | 3.69\% | 2.65\% | 6.34\% |
|  | High | 8.76\% | 1.15\% | 9.91\% |
|  | Low | 0.35\% | 3.46\% | 3.80\% |
| Mid Cap Total |  | 12.79\% | 7.26\% | 20.05\% |
| $\square$ Small Cap | Average | 1.27\% | 8.18\% | 9.45\% |
|  | High | 12.67\% | 3.69\% | 16.36\% |
|  | Low | 0.12\% | 2.19\% | 2.30\% |
| Small Cap Total |  | 14.06\% | 14.06\% | 28.11\% |
| Grand Total |  | 53.46\% | 46.54\% | 100.00\% |

(b) Large cap growth funds are very likely to be high risk while large cap value funds are very likely to be low risk. Mid cap growth funds are very likely to be high risk while mid cap value funds are very likely to be average or low risk. Small cap growth funds are very likely to be high risk while small cap value funds are likely to be high or average risk.
(c)

2.70 (d) The 2006 return of the large cap, value, and low risk mutual funds is left-skewed with cont.
2.71 (a)

| Count of Fee |  | Objective |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Fees | Growth | Value | Grand Total |
| $\square$ Large Cap | No | 137 | 114 | 251 |
|  | Yes | 94 | 105 | 199 |
| Large Cap Total |  | 231 | 219 | 450 |
| $\pm$ Mid Cap | No | 58 | 39 | 97 |
|  | Yes | 53 | 24 | 77 |
| Mid Cap Total |  | 111 | 63 | 174 |
| $\boxminus$ Small Cap No |  | 71 | 81 | 152 |
|  | Yes | 51 | 41 | 92 |
| Small Cap Total |  | 122 | 122 | 244 |
| Grand Total |  | 464 | 404 | 868 |
| Count of Fee |  | Objective |  |  |
| Category | Fees | Growth | Value | Grand Total |
| $\square$ Large Cap | No | 15.78\% | 13.13\% | 28.92\% |
|  | Yes | 10.83\% | 12.10\% | 22.93\% |
| Large Cap Total |  | 26.61\% | 25.23\% | 51.84\% |
| $\square$ Mid Cap | No | 6.68\% | 4.49\% | 11.18\% |
|  | Yes | 6.11\% | 2.76\% | 8.87\% |
| Mid Cap Total |  | 12.79\% | 7.26\% | 20.05\% |
| $\square$ Small Cap |  | 8.18\% | 9.33\% | 17.51\% |
|  | Yes | 5.88\% | 4.72\% | 10.60\% |
| Small Cap Total |  | 14.06\% | 14.06\% | 28.11\% |
| Grand Total |  | 53.46\% | 46.54\% | 100.00\% |

(b) The large cap constitutes the largest percentage among all combinations of objective and fees.
(c)

(d) The 2006 return of the large cap, value, and no fee mutual funds is left-skewed with most of the returns concentrated around $19 \%$. A few of the funds have a return as low as around $7.75 \%$.
(a)

| Count of Ris |  | Fees |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Risk | No | Yes | Grand Total |
| $\square$ Large Cap | Average | 95 | 79 | 174 |
|  | High | 76 | 51 | 127 |
|  | Low | 80 | 69 | 149 |
| Large Cap Total |  | 251 | 199 | 450 |
| $\square$ Mid Cap | Average | 33 | 22 | 55 |
|  | High | 41 | 45 | 86 |
|  | Low | 23 | 10 | 33 |
| Mid Cap Total |  | 97 | 77 | 174 |
| $\square$ Small Cap | Average | 52 | 30 | 82 |
|  | High | 84 | 58 | 142 |
|  | Low | 16 | 4 | 20 |
| Small Cap Total |  | 152 | 92 | 244 |
| Grand Total |  | 500 | 368 | 86 |
| Count of Ris\| |  | Fees |  |  |
| Category | Risk | No | Yes | Grand Total |
| $\square$ Large Cap | Average | 10.94\% | 9.10\% | 20.05\% |
|  | High | 8.76\% | 5.88\% | 14.63\% |
|  | Low | 9.22\% | 7.95\% | 17.17\% |
| Large Cap Total |  | 28.92\% | 22.93\% | 51.84\% |
| $\square$ Mid Cap | Average | 3.80\% | 2.53\% | 6.34\% |
|  | High | 4.72\% | 5.18\% | 9.91\% |
|  | Low | 2.65\% | 1.15\% | 3.80\% |
| Mid Cap Total |  | 11.18\% | 8.87\% | 20.05\% |
| $\square$ Small Cap | Average | 5.99\% | 3.46\% | 9.45\% |
|  | High | 9.68\% | 6.68\% | 16.36\% |
|  | Low | 1.84\% | 0.46\% | 2.30\% |
| Small Cap Total |  | 17.51\% | 10.60\% | 28.11\% |
| Grand Total |  | 57.60\% | 42.40\% | 100.00\% |

(b) Large cap funds without fees are fairly evenly spread in risk while large cap funds with fees are more likely to have average or low risk. Mid cap and small cap funds regardless of fees are more likely to have average or high risk.
(c)

(d) The 2006 return of the large cap, no fee and low risk mutual funds is left-skewed with most of the returns concentrated around $19 \%$. A few of the funds have a return as low as around $2 \%$.
$2.73 \quad$ (a)

| Count of Risl |  | Objective Risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\square$ Growth |  |  | Growth Total | $\square$ Value |  |  | Value Total | Grand Total |
| Category | Fees | Average | High | Low |  | Average | High | Low |  |  |
| $\square$ Large Cap | No | 59 | 68 | 10 | 137 | 36 | 8 | 70 | 114 | 251 |
|  | Yes | 38 | 48 | 8 | 94 | 41 | 3 | 61 | 105 | 199 |
| Large Cap Total |  | 97 | 116 | 18 | 231 | 77 | 11 | 131 | 219 | 450 |
| $\square$ Mid Cap | No | 22 | 36 |  | 58 | 11 | 5 | 23 | 39 | 97 |
|  | Yes | 10 | 40 | 3 | 53 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 24 | 77 |
| Mid Cap Total |  | 32 | 76 | 3 | 111 | 23 | 10 | 30 | 63 | 174 |
| ■Small Cap |  | 9 | 61 | 1 | 71 | 43 | 23 | 15 | 81 | 152 |
|  | Yes | 2 | 49 |  | 51 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 41 | 92 |
| Small Cap Total |  | 11 | 110 | 1 | 122 | 71 | 32 | 19 | 122 | 244 |
| Grand Total |  | 140 | 302 | 22 | 464 | 171 | 53 | 180 | 404 | 868 |
| Count of Risl |  | Objective Risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Growth |  |  | Growth Total | $\square$ Value |  |  | Value Total | Grand Total |
| Category | Fees | Average | High | Low |  | Average | High | Low |  |  |
| ELarge Cap | No | 6.80\% | 7.83\% | 1.15\% | 15.78\% | 4.15\% | 0.92\% | 8.06\% | 13.13\% | 28.92\% |
|  | Yes | 4.38\% | 5.53\% | 0.92\% | 10.83\% | 4.72\% | 0.35\% | 7.03\% | 12.10\% | 22.93\% |
| Large Cap Total |  | 11.18\% | 13.36\% | 2.07\% | 26.61\% | 8.87\% | 1.27\% | 15.09\% | 25.23\% | 51.84\% |
| $\square$ Mid Cap | No | 2.53\% | 4.15\% | 0.00\% | 6.68\% | 1.27\% | 0.58\% | 2.65\% | 4.49\% | 11.18\% |
|  | Yes | 1.15\% | 4.61\% | 0.35\% | 6.11\% | 1.38\% | 0.58\% | 0.81\% | 2.76\% | 8.87\% |
| Mid Cap Total |  | 3.69\% | 8.76\% | 0.35\% | 12.79\% | 2.65\% | 1.15\% | 3.46\% | 7.26\% | 20.05\% |
| $\square$ Small Cap |  | 1.04\% | 7.03\% | 0.12\% | 8.18\% | 4.95\% | 2.65\% | 1.73\% | 9.33\% | 17.51\% |
|  | Yes | 0.23\% | 5.65\% | 0.00\% | 5.88\% | 3.23\% | 1.04\% | 0.46\% | 4.72\% | 10.60\% |
| Small Cap Total |  | 1.27\% | 12.67\% | 0.12\% | 14.06\% | 8.18\% | 3.69\% | 2.19\% | 14.06\% | 28.11\% |
| Grand Total |  | 16.13\% | 34.79\% | 2.53\% | 53.46\% | 19.70\% | 6.11\% | 20.74\% | 46.54\% | 100.00\% |

(b) The large cap constitute the largest percentage among the various combinations of fees, risk factor, and objective except the high risk, growth and fee; average risk, value and no fee; high risk, value and no fee; high risk, value and fee combinations that are dominated by the small cap.
(c) The Pivot Tables in Problems 2.70-2.72 are easier to interpret because there are fewer combinations.
(d)

(e) The 2006 return of the large cap, value, no fee and low risk mutual funds is leftskewed with most of the returns concentrated around $19 \%$. A few of the funds have a return as low as around $8.25 \%$ while two has a return as high as around $24.75 \%$.
(a)

(b) The bar chart and the pie chart should be preferred over the exploded pie chart, doughnut chart, the cone chart and the pyramid chart since the former set is simpler and easier to interpret.
2.82 (a)




(b) The bar chart and the pie chart should be preferred over the exploded pie chart, doughnut chart, the cone chart and the pyramid chart since the former set is simpler and easier to interpret.
2.83 A histogram uses bars to represent each class while a polygon uses a single point. The histogram should be used for only one group, while several polygons can be plotted on a single graph.
2.84 A summary table allows one to determine the frequency or percentage of occurrences in each category.
2.85 A bar chart is useful for comparing categories. A pie chart is useful when examining the portion of the whole that is in each category. A Pareto diagram is useful in focusing on the categories that make up most of the frequencies or percentages.
2.86 The bar chart for categorical data is plotted with the categories on the vertical axis and the frequencies or percentages on the horizontal axis. In addition, there is a separation between categories. The histogram is plotted with the class grouping on the horizontal axis and the frequencies or percentages on the vertical axis. This allows one to more easily determine the distribution of the data. In addition, there are no gaps between classes in the histogram.
2.87 A time-series plot is a type of scatter diagram with time on the x-axis.
2.88 Because the categories are arranged according to frequency or importance, it allows the user to focus attention on the categories that have the greatest frequency or importance.
2.89 Percentage breakdowns according to the total percentage, the row percentage, and/or the column percentage allow the interpretation of data in a two-way contingency table from several different perspectives.
2.90 A contingency table contains information on two categorical variables whereas a Pivot Table can display information on more than two categorical variables.
2.91 The multidimensional PivotTable can reveal additional patterns that cannot be seen in the a contingency table. One can also change the statistic displayed and compute descriptive statistics which can add insight into the data.
2.92 (a)

2.92 (a)
cont.
Pie Chart


Pareto Diagram

2.92
(b)
cont.

Pareto Diagram

(c) The publisher gets the largest portion (64.8\%) of the revenue. About half (32.3\%) of the revenue received by the publisher covers manufacturing costs. The publisher's marketing and promotion account for the next largest share of the revenue, at $15.4 \%$. Author, bookstore employee salaries and benefits, and publisher administrative costs and taxes each account for around $10 \%$ of the revenue, whereas the publisher aftertax profit, bookstore operations, bookstore pretax profit, and freight constitute the "trivial few" allocations of the revenue. Yes, the bookstore gets twice the revenue of the authors.
2.93 (a)

2.93 (a)
cont.

(b) Majority of the green power comes from wind power at over $50 \%$ while more than $80 \%$ of the green power is derived from wind, and landfill mass and biomass.
2.94 (a) PHStat output:


(b) The Pareto plot is most appropriate because it not only sorts the frequencies in descending order, it also provides the cumulative polygon on the same scale.
2.94 (c)
cont.


(d) The Pareto plot is most appropriate because it not only sorts the frequencies in descending order, it also provides the cumulative polygon on the same scale.
2.94 (e) "Paid search" constitutes the largest category on US online ad spending at 43\%. cont. Excluding the generic keyword "sneaker", searches using the keywords "sneaker pimps" and "Jordan sneaker" make up majority of the search for sneakers on specific brands.
2.95 (a)

| Type of Entrée | $\%$ | Number S |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Beef | $29.68 \%$ | 187 |
| Chicken | $16.35 \%$ | 103 |
| Mixed | $4.76 \%$ | 30 |
| Duck | $3.97 \%$ | 25 |
| Fish | $19.37 \%$ | 122 |
| Pasta | $10.00 \%$ | 63 |
| Shellfish | $11.75 \%$ | 74 |
| Veal | $4.13 \%$ | 26 |
| Total | $100.00 \%$ | 630 |

(b)

2.95 (b)
cont.

(c) The Pareto diagram has the advantage of offering the cumulative percentage view of the categories and, hence, enables the viewer to separate the "vital few" from the "trivial many".
(d) Beef and fish account for more than $50 \%$ of all entrees ordered by weekend patrons of a continental restaurant. When chicken is included, better than two-thirds of the entrees are accounted for.
2.96 (a)

| Gender |  |  | Beef Entrée |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Dessert OrderedMale | Female | Total | Dessert Ordered Yes | No | Total |  |  |
| Yes | $71 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $100 \%$ | Yes | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| No | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $100 \%$ | No | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $53 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $100 \%$ | Total | $31 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Gender |  |  |  | Beef Entrée |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Dessert OrderedMale | Female |  | Total |  | Dessert Ordered Yes | No |  |  |
| Yes | $30 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $23 \%$ | Yes | $38 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $23 \%$ |  |
| No | $70 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $77 \%$ | No | $62 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $77 \%$ |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

Gender

| Dessert OrderedMale | Female | Total |  | Dessert Ordered Yes | No |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Yes | $16 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $23 \%$ | Yes | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $23 \%$ |  |
| No | $37 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $77 \%$ | No | $19 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $77 \%$ |  |
| Total | $53 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $100 \%$ | Total | $31 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

2.96 (b) If the owner is interested in finding out the percentage of joint occurrence of gender cont. and ordering of dessert or the percentage of joint occurrence of ordering a beef entrée and a dessert among all patrons, the table of total percentages is most informative. If the owner is interested in the effect of gender on ordering of dessert or the effect of ordering a beef entrée on the ordering of dessert, the table of column percentages will be most informative. Since dessert will usually be ordered after the main entree and the owner has no direct control over the gender of patrons, the table of row percentages is not very useful here.
(c) $30 \%$ of the men sampled ordered desserts compared to $14 \%$ of the women. Men are more than twice as likely to order desserts as women. Almost $38 \%$ of the patrons ordering a beef entree ordered dessert compared to less than $16 \%$ of patrons ordering all other entrees. Patrons ordering beef are better than 2.3 times as likely to order dessert as patrons ordering any other entree.
2.97 (a)

2.97 (b) From the Pareto diagram in part (a), one can see that more than $90 \%$ of the counties
2.98 used either the "optically-scanned paper ballots" or "electronic" method in 2006.

(c) More counties moved from the "punch card", "mixed", "level" or "handcounted paper" methods to using the "optically-scanned paper ballots" or "electronic" methods in 2006 compared to 2000.
(a)


23575R15 accounts for over $80 \%$ of the warranty claims.
(b)

2.98
(b)
cont.

$91.82 \%$ of the warranty claims are from the ATX model.
(c)


Tread separation accounts for $73.23 \%$ of the warranty claims among the ATX model..
2.98 (d)
cont.


The number of claims is evenly distributed among the three incidents; other/unknown incidents account for almost $40 \%$ of the claims, tread separation accounts for about $35 \%$ of the claims, and blowout accounts for about $25 \%$ of the claims.
2.99 (a)

| Range | Frequency Percentage |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 0 but less than 25 | 17 | $34 \%$ |
| 25 but less than 50 | 19 | $38 \%$ |
| 50 but less than 75 | 5 | $10 \%$ |
| 75 but less than 100 | 2 | $4 \%$ |
| 100 but less than 125 | 3 | $6 \%$ |
| 125 but less than 150 | 2 | $4 \%$ |
| 150 but less than 175 | 2 | $4 \%$ |

2.99 (b)
cont.


2.99 (c)
cont.

| Range | Cumulative \% |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0 but less than 25 | $34 \%$ |
| 25 but less than 50 | $72 \%$ |
| 50 but less than 75 | $82 \%$ |
| 75 but less than 100 | $86 \%$ |
| 100 but less than 125 | $92 \%$ |
| 125 but less than 150 | $96 \%$ |
| 150 but less than 175 | $100 \%$ |


(d) You should tell the president of the company that over half of the complaints are resolved within a month, but point out that some complaints take as long as three or four months to settle.
2.100 (a)

2.100 (b) cont.

(c)

The alcohol \% is concentrated between 4 and 6, with more between 4 and 5. The calories are concentrated between 140 and 160. The carbohydrates are concentrated between 12 and 15 . There are outliers in the percentage of alcohol in both tails. The outlier in the lower tail is due to the non-alcoholic beer O'Doul's with only a $0.4 \%$ alcohol content. There are a few beers with alcohol content as high as around $10.5 \%$. There are a few beers with calories content as high as around 302.5 and carbohydrates as high as 31.5.
There is a strong positive relationship between percentage alcohol and calories, and calories and carbohydrates and a moderately positive relationship between percentage alcohol and carbohydrates.
2.101
(a) Ordered array:
$0.070,0.170,0.180,0.300,0.339,0.350,0.360,0.370,0.425,0.440,0.550,0.570$, $0.600,0.600,0.620,0.640,0.695,0.790,0.800,0.840,0.870,0.910,0.980,0.995$, $1.030,1.150,1.150,1.180,1.250,1.330,1.350,1.360,1.410,1.504,1.530,1.700$, $1.770,1.990,2.000,2.000,2.000,2.000,2.000,2.000,2.000,2.025,2.460,2.510$, 2.575, 2.750.
(b)

(c) There is a $2.68 \%$ difference in the state cigarette tax between the lowest and highest. The distribution of the cigarette tax is somewhat right-skewed with a few states having a cigarette tax as high as around $2.6 \%$. Majority of the states though have cigarette tax concentrated around $0.7 \%$.
2.102 (a)

Money Market
Stem 0.1
unit:

| Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sample Size | 23 |
| Mean | 0.955217 |
| Median | 0.75 |
| Std. Deviation | 0.756312 |
| Minimum | 0.01 |
| Maximum | 2.12 |


| 0 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 000055 |
| 3 |  |
| 4 |  |
| 5 | 0 |
| 6 | 00 |
| 7 | 5 |
| 8 |  |
| 9 |  |
| 10 | 0 |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | 005 |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | 55 |
| 18 |  |
| 19 | 0 |
| 20 | 002 |
| 21 | 2 |

2.102 (a) cont.

| Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sample Size | 23 |
| Mean | 1.693043 |
| Median | 2 |
| Std. Deviation | 0.550433 |
| Minimum | 0.25 |
| Maximum | 2.3 |

One-Year CD

| Stem |
| :--- |
| unit: |


| 2 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  |
| 4 |  |
| 5 |  |
| 7 |  |
| 8 | 5 |
| 9 |  |
| 10 | 0 |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | 0 |
| 13 | 5 |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | 0 |
| 16 | 5 |
| 17 | 555 |
| 18 |  |
| 19 20 | 0000005 |
| 21 | 0 |
| 22 | 045 |
| 23 | 0 |
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2.102
(a)
cont.
Five-Year CD

| Stem |
| :--- |
| unit: |$\quad 0.1$


| Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sample Size | 23 |
| Mean | 2.548696 |
| Median | 3 |
| Std. Deviation | 0.871953 |
| Minimum | 1 |
| Maximum | 3.7 |

$\left.\begin{array}{l|lll}10 & 0 & \\ 11 & 6 & \\ 12 & & \\ 13 & & \\ 14 & & & \\ 15 & 0 & & \\ 16 & 5 & & \\ 17 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$
2.102 (b) cont.

(c) The money market yield is concentrated between 0.2 and 0.3. The one-year CD is concentrated between 2 and 2.1. The five-year CD yield is concentrated between 1.7 and 1.8 and 3.0 and 3.4. In general, the five-year CD has the highest yield, followed by the one-year CD and then the money market.
There appears to be a positive relationship between the yield of the one-year CD and the five-year CD but no obvious relationship exists between the yield of the money market and the one-year CD, and the money market and the five-year CD.
2.103 (a), (c)

| bin | Frequency | Percentage | Cumulative $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $-9,900,000$ but less than 99,999 | 6 | $1.57 \%$ | $1.57 \%$ |
| 100,000 but less than 10,099,999 | 242 | $63.52 \%$ | $65.09 \%$ |
| $10,100,000$ but less than 20,099,999 | 102 | $26.77 \%$ | $91.86 \%$ |
| $20,100,000$ but less than 30,099,999 | 19 | $4.99 \%$ | $96.85 \%$ |
| $30,100,000$ but less than 40,099,999 | 6 | $1.57 \%$ | $98.43 \%$ |
| $40,100,000$ but less than $50,099,999$ | 2 | $0.52 \%$ | $98.95 \%$ |
| $50,100,000$ but less than $60,099,999$ | 1 | $0.26 \%$ | $99.21 \%$ |
| $60,100,000$ but less than $70,099,999$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $99.21 \%$ |
| $70,100,000$ but less than $80,099,999$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $99.21 \%$ |
| $80,100,000$ but less than $90,099,999$ | 1 | $0.26 \%$ | $99.48 \%$ |
| $90,100,000$ but less than $100,099,999$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $99.48 \%$ |
| $100,100,000$ but less than $110,099,999$ | 1 | $0.26 \%$ | $99.74 \%$ |
| $110,100,000$ but less than $120,099,999$ | 1 | $0.26 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

(b)

2.103 (b) cont.

(c)

(d) CEO compensation in 2008 is extremely right skewed. More than $90 \%$ of the CEOs have compensation lower than $\$ 20,100,000$. On the other end, $1.57 \%$ of the CEOs have compensation lower than $\$ 100,000$.
2.104 (a)

Frequencies (Boston)

| Weight (Boston) | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3015 but less than 3050 | 2 | $0.54 \%$ |
| 3050 but less than 3085 | 44 | $11.96 \%$ |
| 3085 but less than 320 | 122 | $33.15 \%$ |
| 3120 but less than 3155 | 131 | $35.60 \%$ |
| 3155 but less than 3190 | 58 | $15.76 \%$ |
| 3190 but less than 3225 | 7 | $1.90 \%$ |
| 3225 but less than 3260 | 3 | $0.82 \%$ |
| 3260 but less than 3295 | 1 | $0.27 \%$ |

(b)

Frequencies (Vermont)

| Weight (Vermont) | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3550 but less than 3600 | 4 | $1.21 \%$ |
| 3600 but less than 3650 | 31 | $9.39 \%$ |
| 3650 but less than 3700 | 115 | $34.85 \%$ |
| 3700 but less than 3750 | 131 | $39.70 \%$ |
| 3750 but less than 3800 | 36 | $10.91 \%$ |
| 3800 but less than 3850 | 12 | $3.64 \%$ |
| 3850 but less than 3900 | 1 | $0.30 \%$ |

(c)


(d) $0.54 \%$ of the "Boston" shingles pallets are underweight while $0.27 \%$ are overweight. $1.21 \%$ of the "Vermont" shingles pallets are underweight while $3.94 \%$ are overweight.
2.105 (a)



2.105
(a) cont.



(b) There is a positive relationship between the overall cost index and each of these variables.
2.106 (a)

| Calories | Frequency | Percentage | Percentage Less Than |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50 up to 100 | 3 | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| 100 up to 150 | 3 | 12 | 24 |
| 150 up to 200 | 9 | 36 | 60 |
| 200 up to 250 | 6 | 24 | 84 |
| 250 up to 300 | 3 | 12 | 96 |
| 300 up to 350 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 350 up to 400 | 1 | 4 | 100 |


(b)

| Cholesterol | Frequency | Percentage | Percentage Less Than |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 up to 50 | 2 | 8 | $8 \%$ |
| 50 up to 100 | 17 | 68 | 76 |
| 100 up to 150 | 4 | 16 | 92 |
| 150 up to 200 | 1 | 4 | 96 |
| 200 up to 250 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 250 up to 300 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 300 up to 350 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 350 up to 400 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 400 up to 450 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 450 up to 500 | 1 | 4 | 100 |

2.106 (b) cont.

(c) The sampled fresh red meats, poultry, and fish vary from 98 to 397 calories per serving, with the highest concentration between 150 to 200 calories. One protein source, spareribs, with 397 calories, is more than 100 calories above the next highest caloric food. The protein content of the sampled foods varies from 16 to 33 grams, with $68 \%$ of the data values falling between 24 and 32 grams. Spareribs and fried liver are both very different from other foods sampled - the former on calories and the latter on cholesterol content.
2.107
(a)

(b) The average price of gasoline in the United States is higher in the summer in general and seems to peak in June.
2.108 (a)

(b) There is a downward trend in the amount filled.
(c) The amount filled in next bottle will most likely be below 1.894 liter.
(d) The scatter plot of the amount of soft drink filled against time reveals the trend of the data, whereas a histogram only provides information on the distribution of the data.
2.109 (a)

$2.109 \quad$ (a) cont.

(b) Even though there appeared to be cyclical pattern in the S\&P index, there was a general downward trend with a big drop that took place after 9/29/2009. The stock price of Apple fluctuated between $\$ 120$ and $\$ 200$ before $9 / 29 / 2009$ and then dropped to around $\$ 90$ after 9/29/2009. The stock price of GE trended downward from about $\$ 40$ to about $\$ 20$ while IBM's stock price was trending upward before the big drop that took place after 9/29/2009.
2.114 (a)

2.114 (b) cont.

(c) The expense ratio of all bond funds is scattered around 0.75 . Bond funds with fees have expense ratio that is scattered around 0.9 while bond funds without fees have expense ratio that is scattered around 0.625 .
2.115 (a)

2.115 (b) cont.

(c) The three-year annualized return of the 180 bond funds is left-skewed with majority of them (about $78 \%$ ) scattered between $1 \%$ and $7 \%$. About $9.5 \%$ of the mutual funds have a negative three-year annualized return while about $8.9 \%$ of them have return higher than $7 \%$. In general, the intermediate government funds have higher threeyear annualized return than short term corporate funds. Both types of mutual funds have three-year annualized return skewed to the left.
2.116 (a)

2.116 (b) cont.

(c) The five-year annualized return of the 181 bond funds is left-skewed with majority of them (about $65 \%$ ) scattered between $2 \%$ and $5 \%$. About $7 \%$ of the bond funds have a negative five-year annualized return while about $3 \%$ of them have return higher than $6 \%$. In general, the intermediate government funds have higher five-year annualized return than short term corporate funds. Both types of mutual funds have five-year annualized return skewed to the left.
2.117 (a) Categorical variables: gender, class, major, grad school, employment status, satisfaction advisement.
(b) Numerical variables: age, height, GPA, expected salary, annual salary in 5 years, number of affiliations, spending.
(c) Discrete numerical variables: age (in years), height (in inches), expected salary (in thousands of dollars), annual salary in 5 years (in thousands of dollars), number of affiliations.
(d)

Gender:


Pie Chart


There are more males than females in the survey.
2.117
cont. Major:



Pareto Diagram

2.117 cont.

Accounting, marketing/retailing, economics/finance and management constituted the "vital few" while the rest of the majors make up the "trivial many".

## Grad School




Pareto Diagram


The percentages of students planning to attend graduate school are roughly evenly distributed among "Yes", "No" and "Not Sure".
2.117
cont. Employment Status:


Part-time students constitute the "vital few" while full-time and unemployed students make up the "trivial many".
2.117
cont. Satisfaction Advisement Services:


Pie Chart


Pareto Diagram


About $80 \%$ of the students rated satisfaction with advisement services in the range between 3 to 5 .
2.117
cont. Number Affiliations:
Stem-and-Leaf Display
for Number of Affiliations
Stem unit: 1


Majority of the students (64\%) have no affiliation with clubs, groups, organizations or teams currently.
Age:

## Stem-and-Leaf Display

for Age
Stem unit: 1

| 18 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 000000000000 |
| 20 | 000000000000000 |
| 21 | 000000000 |
| 22 | 0000 |
| 23 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |
| 29 |  |
| 30 | 0 |
| 31 |  |
| 32 |  |
| 33 | 0 |
| 34 |  |
| 35 |  |
| 36 | 0 |

Majority ( $88 \%$ ) of the students surveyed are between 19 and 22 year old.
2.117
cont. Height:


Percentage Polygon


Height is right-skewed.
2.117
cont. GPA:


Percentage Polygon


GPA is quite symmetrically distributed around 3.0.
2.117 cont.

## Expected Salary:



Percentage Polygon


Expected salary is somewhat left-skewed.
2.117
cont.

## Annual Salary in 5 Years:




Annual salary in five years is right-skewed.
2.117 cont.

## Spending:




Spending is also right-skewed.
2.119 (a) Categorical variables: gender, major, undergrad specialization, employment status, satisfaction advisement.
(b) Numerical variables: age, height, graduate GPA, undergrad GPA, GMAT, number of jobs, expected salary, anticipated salary in 5 years, spending.
(c) Discrete numerical variables: age (in years), height (in inches), GMAT, number of jobs, expected salary (in thousands of dollars), anticipated salary in 5 years (in thousands of dollars), spending (in dollars).
(d)

Gender:
Bar Chart



There are more males than females in the survey.
2.119 cont.

## Major:



Pie Chart


Pareto Diagram


The "vital few" of accounting, economics/finance, management and marketing/retailing account for more than $80 \%$ of the majors.
2. 119
cont. Undergraduate Specialization:



Pareto Diagram


The "vital few" of business administration and computer/math account for half of the undergraduate specialization.
2. 119
cont. Employment Status:

"Full-time" employment status accounts for more than $80 \%$ of the students.
2. 119
cont. Satisfaction Advisement Services:



Satisfaction Advisement

$80 \%$ of the students rated their satisfaction advisement services at between 4 and 6 .
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2. 119
cont. Age:

```
Stem-and-Leaf Display for Age Stem unit 1
```

| 22 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 0 |
| 24 | 00 |
| 25 | 00000 |
| 26 | 000 |
| 27 | 000 |
| 28 | 000 |
| 29 | 00 |
| 30 | 0000 |
| 31 | 000 |
| 32 | 000 |
| 33 | 00 |
| 34 | 0 |
| 35 | 00 |
| 36 | 0 |
| 37 | 0 |
| 38 | 0 |
| 39 | 0 |
| 40 |  |
| 41 | 0 |

Age is right-skewed.
2. 119 Height:
cont.



Height is left-skewed.
2. 119 cont.


Percentage Polygon


Graduate GPA is left-skewed.
2. 119
cont.

## Undergraduate GPA:




Undergraduate GPA is left-skewed.
2. 119 cont.

## GMAT:




GMAT score is left-skewed.
2. 119
cont.
Expected Salary:


Percentage Polygon


Expected salary is right-skewed.
2. 119 cont.

## Anticipated Salary in 5 Years:



Percentage Polygon


Anticipated salary is right-skewed.
2. 119
cont.

## Spending:



Spending is right-skewed.

