SOLUTIONS MANUAL



Chapter 2

The Mole: The Link Between the Macroscopic and the Atomic World of Chemistry

- 2-1 The mass of the container and the contents <u>will not change</u>. The same number of atoms of each element will be present after burning the candle. However, the elements will be combined differently as product molecules.
- 2-2 The <u>same</u> number of atoms will be present. The atoms which made up the molecules of liquid gasoline have been changed chemically to gaseous molecules. But the same number of atoms are still present, just in a different chemical form.
- 2-3 Mass is conserved because atoms are not created or destroyed in a chemical reaction. Rather their arrangement changes to form different chemical compounds.
- 2-4 Lavoisier observed that the mass of the products of a chemical reaction is the same as the mass of the reactants one starts with.
- 2-5 All the atoms which start out on the reactant side of a chemical reaction equation must be accounted for on the product side of the reaction equation.
- 2-6 Two gaseous hydrogen molecules and one gaseous oxygen molecule can react to form two gaseous water molecules.
 This is the same reaction except that the product is liquid water: Two gaseous hydrogen molecules and one gaseous oxygen molecule can react to form two liquid water molecules.
- 2-7 A solid molecule of potassium iodide can react to form an aqueous plus one potassium ion and an aqueous minus one iodine ion.
- 2-8 One gaseous molecule of carbon dioxide and one liquid water molecule can react to form an aqueous molecule of carbonic acid.
- 2-9 The number of carbon and hydrogen atoms is conserved. The number of molecules is not conserved. There are two reactant molecules, but only one product molecule.
- A gaseous molecule of hydrogen and a gaseous molecule of chlorine will react to give two gaseous hydrogen chloride molecules.
 When chlorine and hydrogen react, one mole of each will be consumed and two moles of hydrogen chloride are formed.
- 2-11 Reactants: 3 Ca (3x40.078 amu) + N₂ (28.014 amu) = 134.248 amu
 Products: Ca₃N₂ (3x40.078 amu+2x14.007)=134.248 amu
 When using mole quantities the amu unit can be replaced with a grams unit and the numbers remain the same.

2-12 (a)
$$2 \mod H_2 \times \frac{2H}{H_2} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ atoms}}{\text{mol}} = 2.4088 \times 10^{24} \text{ Hatoms}$$

 $1 \mod O_2 \times \frac{2O}{O_2} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ atoms}}{\text{mol}} = 1.2044 \times 10^{24} \text{ O atoms}$
(b) $2 \mod H_2 \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecules}}{\text{mol}} = 1.2044 \times 10^{24} \text{ H}_2 \text{ molecules}$
 $1 \mod O_2 \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecules}}{\text{mol}} = 6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ O}_2 \text{ molecules}$
 $\left(2 \mod H_2O \times \frac{2H \text{ atoms}}{H_2O \text{ molecule}} + 2 \mod H_2O \times \frac{1 \text{ O atom}}{H_2O \text{ molecule}}\right) \times 20$

$$\frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ at oms}}{\text{mol}} = 3.6132 \times 10^{24} \text{ at oms}$$

2 moles of product water are formed

(c)
$$2 \operatorname{mol} H_2 O \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \operatorname{molecules}}{\operatorname{mol}} = 1.2044 \times 10^{24} H_2 O \operatorname{molecules}$$

2-13 (a)
$$4 \operatorname{Cr}(s) + 3 \operatorname{O}_2(g) \to 2 \operatorname{Cr}_2 \operatorname{O}_3(s)$$

(b) $SiH_4(g) \rightarrow Si(s) + 2H_2(g)$ (c) $2SO_2(g) \rightarrow 2SO_2(g) + O_2(g)$

(c)
$$2 \text{ SO}_3(g) \rightarrow 2 \text{ SO}_2(g) + \text{O}_2(g)$$

2-16 (a)
$$PF_3(g) + 3 H_2O(I) \rightarrow H_3PO_3(aq) + 3 HF(aq)$$

(b) $P_4O_{10}(s) + 6 H_2O(I) \rightarrow 4 H_3PO_4(aq)$

2-17 (a)
$$2 C_3 H_8(g) + 10 O_2(g) \rightarrow 6 CO_2(g) + 8 H_2 O(g)$$

(b) $C_2 H_5 OH(l) + 3 O_2(g) \rightarrow 2 CO_2(g) + 3 H_2 O(g)$

(c)
$$C_6H_{12}O_6(s) + 6 O_2(g) \rightarrow 6 CO_2(g) + 6 H_2O(l)$$

2-18 From 5 mol O₂ x
$$\frac{2 \mod CO_2}{1 \mod O_2}$$
 = 10 mol CO₂

2-20 12 mol Cu x
$$\frac{1 \text{ mol CuO}}{1 \text{ mol Cu}}$$
 = 12 mol CuO

2-21 $CS_2(I) + 3 O_2(g) \rightarrow CO_2(g) + 2 SO_2(g)$ A balanced chemical equation represents the molecular ratios and molar ratios of the reactants and products. Therefore,

500 molecules CS $_2 \times \frac{3 \text{ molecules O}_2}{1 \text{ molecule CS}_2} = 1500 \text{ molecules O}_2$ 5.00 mol CS $_2 \times \frac{3 \text{ mol O}_2}{1 \text{ mol CS}_2} = 15.0 \text{ mol O}_2$

2-22
$$3 \text{ MnO}_2(s) \rightarrow \text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4(s) + \text{O}_2(g)$$

6.75 mol MnO x $\frac{1 \text{ mol O}_2}{3 \text{ mol MnO}_2} = 2.25 \text{ mol O}_2$

- 2-24 1. Convert grams of CO reacted to moles of CO.
 2. Relate moles of CO reacted to moles of CO₂ produced.
 3. Convert moles CO₂ to grams.

2-25 2 HgO(s)
$$\rightarrow$$
 2 Hg(l) + O₂(g)
25 g Hg x $\frac{1 \text{ mol Hg}}{200.59 \text{ g}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol O}_2}{2 \text{ mol Hg}} \times \frac{31.998 \text{ g O}_2}{1 \text{ mol O}_2} = 2.0 \text{ g O}_2$

2-26
$$CH_4(g) + 2 O_2(g) \rightarrow CO_2(g) + 2 H_2O(g)$$

10. 0 $g CH_4 \times \frac{1 \mod CH_4}{16.043 g} \times \frac{2 \mod O_2}{1 \mod CH_4} \times \frac{31.998 g O_2}{1 \mod O_2} = 39.9 g O_2$ consumed.
10. 0 $g CH_4 \times \frac{1 \mod CH_4}{16.043 g} \times \frac{1 \mod CO_2}{1 \mod CH_4} \times \frac{44.009 g CO_2}{1 \mod CO_2} = 27.4 g CO_2$ produced.

2-27
$$Zn(s) + S(s) \rightarrow ZnS(s)$$

10.0 lb Zn x $\frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ lb}}$ x $\frac{1 \text{ mol } Zn}{65.39 \text{ g}}$ x $\frac{1 \text{ mol } S}{1 \text{ mol } Zn} \times \frac{32.066 \text{ g } S}{1 \text{ mol } S} \times \frac{1 \text{ lb}}{453.6 \text{ g}}$ =4.90 lb S

2-29 1.00 g Cr
$$\times \frac{1 \text{ mol Cr}}{51.996 \text{ g}} = 0.0192 \text{ mol Cr}$$

 $0.923 \text{ g O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol O}_{2}}{31.998 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol O}}{1 \text{ mol O}_{2}} = 0.0577 \text{ mol O}$
Therefore the predicted formula for the compound is CrO₃.
 $\frac{0.0192}{0.0192} = 1.00 = 1 \text{ mol Cr}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{2-30} & C_6 H_{12} O_6(\text{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \ C_2 H_5 OH(\text{aq}) + 2 \ CO_2(\text{g}) \\ & 1.00 \ \text{kg} \ \text{C} \ \ _6 H_{12} O_6 \times \frac{1000 \ \text{g}}{1 \ \text{kg}} \times \frac{1 \ \text{mol} \ \text{C} \ _6 H_{12} O_6}{180.155 \ \text{g}} \times \frac{2 \ \text{mol} \ \text{C} \ _2 H_5 OH}{1 \ \text{mol} \ \text{C} \ _2 H_5 OH} \times \frac{46.068 \ \text{g} \ \text{C} \ \ _2 H_5 OH}{1 \ \text{mol} \ \text{C} \ _2 H_5 OH} \times \frac{1 \ \text{kg}}{1000 \ \text{g}} \\ & = 0.511 \ \text{kg} \ \text{C}_2 H_5 OH \end{array}$

2-31 Molecular weight of bauxite,
$$AI_2O_3 \cdot 2H_2O = 137.991 \frac{g}{mol}$$

 $1 \text{ ton } \times \frac{2000 \text{ lb}}{1 \text{ ton }} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ lb}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol AI}_2O_3 \cdot 2H_2O}{137.991 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol AI}}{1 \text{ mol AI}_2O_3 \cdot 2H_2O} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol AI}_2O_3 \cdot 2H_2O}{1 \text{ mol AI}_2O_3 \cdot 2H_2O} \times \frac{26.982 \text{ g AI}}{1 \text{ mol AI}} \times \frac{1 \text{ lb AI}}{453 \cdot 6 \text{ g}} = 782 \text{ .1 lb AI}$

2-32
$$Ca_3P_2(s) + 6 H_2O(I) \rightarrow 3 Ca(OH)_2(aq) + 2 PH_3(g)$$

10. 0 $g Ca_3P_2 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } Ca_3P_2}{182 \text{ .182 g}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol } PH_3}{1 \text{ mol } Ca_3P_2} \times \frac{33.998 \text{ g } PH_3}{1 \text{ mol } PH_3} = 3.73 \text{ g } PH_3$

2-33
$$PCI_{3}(g) + 3 H_{2}O(I) \rightarrow 3 HCI(aq) + H_{3}PO_{3}(aq)$$

15 .0 $g PCI_{3} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol PCI}_{3}}{137.333 \text{ g}} \times \frac{3 \text{ mol HCI}}{1 \text{ mol PCI}_{3}} \times \frac{36.461 \text{ g HCI}}{1 \text{ mol HCI}} = 11.9 \text{ g HCI}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{2-34} & \text{N}_2(g) + 3 \text{ H}_2(g) \rightarrow 2 \text{ NH}_3(g) \\ & 4 \text{ NH}_3(g) + 5 \text{ O}_2(g) \rightarrow 4 \text{ NO}(g) + 6 \text{ H}_2\text{O}(g) \\ & 2 \text{ NO}(g) + \text{ O}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ NO}_2(g) \\ & 3 \text{ NO}_2(g) + \text{ H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightarrow 2 \text{ HNO}_3(\text{aq}) + \text{ NO}(g) \\ & 150 \text{ g } \text{ HNO}_3 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } \text{ HNO}_3}{63.012 \text{ g}} \times \frac{3 \text{ mol } \text{ NO}_2}{2 \text{ mol } \text{ HNO}_3} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol } \text{ NO}_2}{2 \text{ mol } \text{ NO}_2} \times \frac{4 \text{ mol } \text{ NH}_3}{4 \text{ mol } \text{ NO}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } \text{ NH}_3}{2 \text{ mol } \text{ NH}_3} \times \frac{28.014 \text{ g } \text{ N}_2}{1 \text{ mol } \text{ N}_2} = 50.0 \text{ g } \text{ N}_2 \end{array}$$

2-35
$$2 H_2(g) + O_2(g) \rightarrow 2 H_2O(I)$$

500 H₂ molecules require
500 molecules H₂ x $\frac{1 \text{ molecule O}_2}{2 \text{ molecules H}_2}$ = 250 molecules O₂ to react completely.
Since 500 O₂ molecules are present, H₂ is the limiting reagent and based upon the

Since 500 O₂ molecules are present, H₂ is the limiting reagent and based upon the stoichiometric coefficients, 500 H₂O molecules would be produced. If the amount of O₂ doubles, the yield remains 500 molecules H₂O. If the amount of H₂ doubles then the yield is 1000 molecules H₂O.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{2-36} & 4 \ \text{P}_4(s) + 5 \ \text{S}_8(s) \rightarrow 4 \ \text{P}_4 \text{S}_{10}(s) \\ & 0.500 \quad \text{mol} \ \text{P}_4 \times \frac{4 \ \text{mol} \ \text{P}_4 \text{S}_{10}}{4 \ \text{mol} \ \text{P}_4} = 0.500 \quad \text{mol} \ \text{P}_4 \text{S}_{10} \\ & 0.500 \quad \text{S}_8 \times \frac{4 \ \text{mol} \ \text{P}_4 \text{S}_{10}}{5 \ \text{mol} \ \text{S}_8} = 0.400 \quad \text{mol} \ \text{P}_4 \text{S}_{10} \end{array}$$

Since S_8 produces fewer moles of P_4S_{10} , it is the limiting reagent. If P_4 is doubled, S_8 is still the limiting reagent, and the amount of P_4S_{10} produced would remain unchanged. If S_8 is doubled, then P_4 becomes the limiting reagent and the yield of P_4S_{10} would be 0.500 mol.

2-37

$$\begin{array}{l} 2 \text{ NO(g) } + \text{ O}_2(\text{g}) \rightarrow 2 \text{ NO}_2(\text{g}) \\ 0.35 \text{ mol NO x } \frac{2 \text{ mol NO}_2}{2 \text{ mol NO}_2} = 0.35 \text{ mol NO}_2 \\ 0.25 \text{ mol O}_2 \text{ x } \frac{2 \text{ mol NO}_2}{1 \text{ mol O}_2} = 0.50 \text{ mol NO}_2 \end{array}$$

NO produces fewer moles of NO₂, therefore it is the limiting reagent. If NO were increased the yield of NO₂ would increase. If O_2 were increased, there would be no change in the yield of NO₂.

2-38
$$H_2(g) + Cl_2(g) \rightarrow 2 \text{ HCl}(g)$$

10.0 g $H_2 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } H_2}{2.0158 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol } \text{HCl}}{1 \text{ mol } H_2} = 9.92 \text{ mol } \text{HCl}$
10.0 g $Cl_2 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } Cl_2}{70.906 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol } \text{HCl}}{1 \text{ mol } Cl_2} = 0.282 \text{ mol } \text{HCl}$

Cl₂ produces fewer moles of HCl, therefore it is the limiting reagent.

$$0.282 \text{ mol HCl} \times \frac{36.46g}{\text{mol}} = 10.3 \text{ g HCl}$$

To increase the amount of HCl produced, the amount of Cl_2 would have to be increased.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{2-39} & 3\mbox{ Ca}(s) + \mbox{N}_2(g) \to \mbox{Ca}_3\mbox{N}_2(s) \\ & 54.9\mbox{ g Ca} \times \ \frac{1\mbox{ mol Ca}}{40.\ 078\mbox{ g}} \times \frac{1\mbox{ mol Ca}_3\mbox{N}_2}{3\mbox{ mol Ca}} = 0.457\mbox{ mol Ca}_3\mbox{N}_2, \\ & 43.2\mbox{ N}_2 \times \ \frac{1\mbox{ mol N}_2}{28}\ .014\mbox{ g} \times \frac{2\mbox{ mol N}_2}{1\mbox{ mol N}_2} \times \frac{1\mbox{ mol Ca}_3\mbox{N}_2}{2\mbox{ mol N}} = 1.54\mbox{ mol Ca}_3\mbox{N}_2, \\ & \mbox{ Ca produces fewer moles of Ca}_3\mbox{N}_2, \mbox{ therefore it is the limiting reagent.} \\ & \mbox{ The mass of Ca}_3\mbox{N}_2\mbox{ produced is } 0.457\mbox{ mol Ca}_3\mbox{N}_2 \times \frac{148\mbox{ .248\mbox{ Ca}}_3\mbox{N}_2}{1\mbox{ mol Ca}_3\mbox{N}_2} = 67.7\mbox{ g}. \end{array}$$

2-40 2 PF₃(g) + XeF₄(s)
$$\rightarrow$$
 2 PF₅(g) + Xe(g)
100.0 g PF₃ x $\frac{1 \mod PF_3}{87.968 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \mod PF_5}{2 \mod PF_3} = 1.137 \mod PF_5$
50.0 g XeF₄ x $\frac{1 \mod XeF_4}{207.28 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \mod PF_5}{1 \mod XeF_4} = 0.482 \mod PF_5$
XeF₄ produces fewer moles of PF₅; therefore, it is the limitin

2-41 2 Al(s) + 3 Hg(CH₃)₂(l)
$$\rightarrow$$
 2 Al(CH₃)₃(l) + 3 Hg(l)
5.00 g Al × $\frac{1 \text{ mol Al}}{26.982 \text{ g}}$ × $\frac{2 \text{ mol Al}(CH_3)_3}{2 \text{ mol Al}}$ = 0.185 mol Al(CH₃)₃
1 mol Hg(CH₃) = 2 mol Al(CH₃)

25.0 g Hg(CH₃)₂ x
$$\frac{1 \text{ mol Hg}(CH_3)_2}{230.66 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol Al}(CH_3)_3}{3 \text{ mol Hg}(CH_3)_2}$$

= 0.0723 mol AI(CH₃)₃

 $Hg(CH_3)_2$ produces fewer moles of $Al(CH_3)_3$, therefore, it is the limiting reagent. The amount of $Al(CH_3)_3$ produced is

0.0723 mol Al(CH₃)₃ x
$$\frac{72.086 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol Al}(CH_3)_3} = 5.21 \text{ g Al}(CH_3)_3$$

2-42 $\operatorname{Fe}_2O_3(s) + 2\operatorname{Al}(s) \rightarrow \operatorname{Al}_2O_3(s) + 2\operatorname{Fe}(I)$ 150 g Al x $\frac{1 \operatorname{mol} \operatorname{Al}}{26.982 \operatorname{g}} \times \frac{2 \operatorname{mol} \operatorname{Fe}}{2 \operatorname{mol} \operatorname{Al}} = 5.56 \operatorname{mol} \operatorname{Fe},$ 250 g Fe₂O₃ x $\frac{1 \operatorname{mol} \operatorname{Fe}_2O_3}{159.691 \operatorname{g} \operatorname{Fe}_2O_3} \times \frac{2 \operatorname{mol} \operatorname{Fe}}{1 \operatorname{mol} \operatorname{Fe}_2O_3} = 3.13 \operatorname{mol} \operatorname{Fe},$ Fe₂O₃ produces fewer moles of Fe; therefore it is the limiting reagent. The amount of Fe produced is 3.13 mol Fe x $\frac{55.847 \operatorname{g}}{1 \operatorname{mol} \operatorname{Fe}} = 175 \operatorname{g} \operatorname{Fe}.$

- 2-43 10.0 cm³ x 7.9 $\frac{g}{cm}$ = 79 g 5.0 cm³ x 10.5 $\frac{g}{cm}$ = 53 g The sample of iron weighs more.
- 2-44 $\frac{100 \text{ g}}{8.8 \text{ mL}} = 11 \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}}$: The first strip is Pb. $\frac{100 \text{ g}}{37.0 \text{ mL}} = 2.70 \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}}$: The second strip is AI.
- 2-45 $\frac{271 \text{ g}}{20.0 \text{ mL}} = 13.6 \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}}$
- 2-46 5.6 mL x 13.6 $\frac{g}{cm} = 76 \text{ g}$
- 2-47 A **solution** is a homogeneous mixture of two or more components. The **solvent** is the component of a solution in largest relative amount. The **solute** is the component of a solution in smaller relative amount. Brine is a solution of the solute sodium chloride in the solvent water.
- 2-48 Both (b) and (c) are homogeneous mixtures.
- 2-49 $H_2C_2O_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ MW=126.063 $\frac{g}{mol}$ 1. Calculate the number of moles oxalic acid needed 0.125 L x $\frac{0.745 \text{ mol oxalic acid}}{1 \text{ L}} = 0.0931 \text{ mol}$ 2. Find what chemical is available.

Oxalic acid dihydrate, $H_2C_2O_4 \cdot 2H_2O$, FW=126.154 $\frac{g}{mol}$

3. Weigh out the quantity needed.

0.0931 mol x 126.154 $\frac{g}{mol}$ = 11.7 g oxalic acid dihydrate

4. Quantitavely transfer this amount of oxalic acid to a 250 mL volumetric flask and dissolve it in deionized water. Mix well. Dilute to 250 mL in the volumetric flask.

2-50 27.3 g HCl x
$$\frac{1 \text{ mol HCl}}{36.461 \text{ g HCl}} = 0.749 \text{ mol HCl}$$

M= $\frac{\text{moles}}{\text{liter}} = \frac{0.749 \text{ mol}}{0.125 \text{ L}} = 5.99 \text{ M}$

2-51 0.00019 g AgCl
$$\times \frac{1 \text{ mol AgCl}}{143.32 \text{ g}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol AgCl}$$

M= $\frac{\text{moles}}{\text{liter}} = \frac{1.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol}}{0.100 \text{ L}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$

2-52 252 g NH₃ ×
$$\frac{1 \text{ mol NH}_3}{17.031 \text{ g}}$$
 =14. 8 mol NH₃
M= $\frac{\text{moles}}{L} = \frac{14.8 \text{ mol}}{1 \text{ L}} = 14.8 \text{ M}$

2-53
$$1.60 \text{ mg} \times \frac{1 \text{ g}}{1000 \text{ mg}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol cholesterol}}{386.67 \text{ g}} = 4.14 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol}$$

$$M = \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} = \frac{4.14 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol}}{0.100 \text{ L}} = 4.14 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$$

2-54 5.77 g Cl₂ ×
$$\frac{1 \text{ mol Cl}_2}{70.906 \text{ g}}$$
 = 0.0814 mol Cl₂
M= $\frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} = \frac{0.0814 \text{ mol}}{1.00 \text{ L}}$ = 0.0814 M

2-55
$$\frac{0.150 \text{ mol}}{1 \text{ L}} \times 0.500 \text{ L} = 0.0750 \text{ mol Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \text{ needed}$$
$$0.0750 \text{ mol Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \times \frac{142.042 \text{ g Na}_2\text{SO}_4}{1 \text{ mol Na}_2\text{SO}_4} = 10.7 \text{ g Na}_2\text{SO}_4$$

- 2-56 1.00 L of water plus 1.00 mol of K_2CrO_4 may not be 1.00 L of solution. The student probably made more than 1.00 L of solution, so the solution was less than 1.00 M. A 1.00 liter sample of a 1.00 mol solute per liter solution must be prepared by placing the solute in a container calibrated to hold 1.000 liter. Add water to the container (volumetric flask) to dissolve the solute. Thoroughly mix the solution. Continue to add more water (solvent) until the liquid level has been brought to the calibration mark.
- 2-57 Assuming the volumes in solution preparation are additive then 458 mL+800 mL= 1.258L

$$\frac{92 \text{ g Na}_{2} \text{ Cr}_{2} \text{ O}_{7} \cdot 2 \text{ H}_{2} \text{ O}}{1.258 \text{ L}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol Na}_{2} \text{ Cr}_{2} \text{ O}_{7} \cdot 2 \text{ H}_{2} \text{ O}}{297.995 \text{ g Na}_{2} \text{ Cr}_{2} \text{ O}_{7} \cdot 2 \text{ H}_{2} \text{ O}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol Cr}_{2} \text{ O}_{7}^{2^{-}}}{1 \text{ mol Na}_{2} \text{ Cr}_{2} \text{ O}_{7} \cdot 2 \text{ H}_{2} \text{ O}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol Cr}_{2} \text{ O}_{7}^{2^{-}}}{1 \text{ mol Na}_{2} \text{ Cr}_{7} \text{ O}_{7}^{2^{-}}} = 0.25 \text{ M} \text{ Cr}_{2} \text{ O}_{7}^{2^{-}}$$

2-58
$$\frac{20 \text{ ng}}{\text{ml}} \times \frac{10^{-9} \text{ g}}{\text{ng}} \times \frac{1000 \text{ mL}}{\text{L}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{315 \text{ g}} = 6.3 \text{ x } 10^{-8} \text{ M}$$

2-59 $\frac{1.25 \text{ g KCl}}{0.500 \text{ L}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol KCl}}{74.551 \text{ g KCl}} = 0.0335 \text{ M KCl}$. To make it twice as concentrated either add twice as much KCl or use half as much water.

2-60
$$\frac{2.75 \text{ g AgNO}_3}{0.250 \text{ L}} \times \frac{1 \text{mol AgNO}_3}{169.87 \text{ g AgNO}_3} = 0.0648 \text{ M AgNO}_3.$$
 To make it half as concentrated either add half as much KCI or use twice as much water.

2-61
$$1.25 \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} \times 0.250 \text{ L} \times \frac{39.997 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}} \frac{\text{NaOH}}{\text{NaOH}} = 12.5 \text{ g} \text{ NaOH}$$

2-62 $0.50 \frac{mol}{L} \times 0.500 L = 0.25 mol$

2-63
$$0.50 \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} \times 0.500 \text{ L} \times \frac{39.997 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol}} \frac{\text{NaOH}}{\text{NaOH}} = 10.\text{ g} \text{ NaOH}$$

2-64 The 0.25 M solution is more concentrated since it is the higher molarity; more moles per liter.

2-65
$$\frac{0.25 \text{ g CuSO}_4}{0.125 \text{ L}} \times \frac{1 \text{mol CuSO}_4}{159.608 \text{ g CuSO}_4} = 0.0125 \text{ M CuSO}_4.$$
 The solution is prepared by weighing out

0.25 grams and placing into a 125 mL flask. The flask is then filled with water until the 125 mL mark is reached.

2-66 (a)
$$\frac{0.275 \text{ g AgNO}_{3}}{0.500 \text{ L}} \times \frac{1 \text{mol AgNO}_{3}}{169.87 \text{ g AgNO}_{3}} = 0.00324 \text{ M AgNO}_{3}$$

(b)
$$0.00324 \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} \text{ AgNO}_{3} \times \frac{0.0100 \text{ L}}{0.500 \text{ L}} = 6.48 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M AgNO}_{3}$$

(c)
$$6.48 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} \text{ AgNO}_{3} \times \frac{0.0100 \text{ L}}{0.250 \text{ L}} = 2.60 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M AgNO}_{3}$$

2-67 $0.10 \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} \text{HCI} \times 0.500 \text{ L} \times \frac{1\text{L}}{12.0 \text{ mol}} = 0.0042 \text{ L}. 4.2 \text{ mL of } 12.0 \text{ M HCI would be diluted to } 500 \text{ mL}.$ The resulting solution will be 0.10 M HCI.

2-68 0.050
$$\frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}}$$
 CuSO₄ × $\frac{\text{x L}}{2 \cdot \text{x L}}$ = 0.025 M CuSO₄

2-69 18.0
$$\frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}}$$
 H₂SO₄ × $\frac{0.100 \text{ L}}{0.500 \text{ L}}$ = 3.60 M H₂SO₄

2-70 $0.10 \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} \text{HCI} \times 0.250 \text{ L} \times \frac{1\text{L}}{6.0 \text{ mol}} = 0.0042 \text{ L}. 4.2 \text{ mL of } 6.0 \text{ M} \text{ HCI would be diluted to } 250 \text{ mL}.$ The resulting solution will be 0.10 M HCI.

2-71 0.050
$$\frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}}$$
 NaCl $\times \frac{0.100 \text{ L}}{0.250 \text{ L}} = 0.020 \text{ M}$ NaCl

2-72 $1.20 \underset{L}{\text{mol}} \text{KF} \times 0.100 \text{ L} = 0.120 \text{ mol} \text{ KF}$ are present in the initial solution. If you want a final concentration of 0.45 M, what volume must contain the 0.120 mol? $0.120 \text{ mol} \times \frac{1\text{L}}{0.45 \text{ mol}} = .267 \text{ L}$ is the final volume that the 100 mL is diluted to.

2-73
$$1.0 \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} \times \frac{1.0 \text{ L}}{1.75 \text{ L}} = 0.57 \text{ M}$$

2-74 $M_1 V_1 = M_2 V_2$
 $(1.00 \text{ L})(3.00 \text{ M}) = (x \text{ L})(17.4 \text{ M})$
 $\frac{(1.00 \text{ L})(3.00 \text{ M})}{(17.4 \text{ M})} = 0.172 \text{ L} = 172 \text{ mL of } 17.4 \text{ M} \text{ acetic acid is needed.}$

2-75
$$\frac{(15.0 \text{ m})(6.00 \text{ M})}{(25.0 + 15.0) \text{ m}} = 2.25 \text{ M HCl}$$
2-76
$$(0.200 \text{ L})(1.25 \text{ M}) = (x \text{ L})(5.94 \text{ M}) = x \text{ L} = 0.0421 \text{ L} = 42.1 \text{ mL}$$
Take about 150 mL distilled water and slowly add 42.1 mL of the HNO₃ with mixing. Bring the solution to a final volume of 200 mL with distilled water and mix. The resulting solution is 1.25 M HNO₃.
2-77 KCl(aq) + AgNO₃(aq) → AgCl(s) + KNO₃(aq)
0.430 g AgCl× $\frac{1 \text{ mol AgCl}}{143.32 \text{ g}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol KO}}{1 \text{ mol AgCl}} = 3.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol KCl}$
M= $\frac{\text{mol}}{\text{ L}} = \frac{3.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}}{0.02500 \text{ L}} = 0.120 \text{ M KCl}$
2-78 2 Nal(aq) + Hg(NO₃)₂(aq) → Hgl₂(s) + NaNO₃(aq)
0.045 L × $\frac{0.10 \text{ mol Hg(NO_3)}_2}{1 \text{ L}} = 4.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol Hg(NO_3)}_2$
4.5 x 10⁻³ mol Hg(NO₃)₂ x $\frac{2 \text{ mol Nal}}{1 \text{ mol Hg(NO_3)}_2} = 9.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol Nal}$
 $\frac{9.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol Nal}}{0.25 \frac{\text{ mol Nal}}{\text{ L}}} = 3.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ L} \times \frac{1000 \text{ mL}}{1 \text{ L}} = 36 \text{ mL Nal}$
2-79 CH₃CO₂H(aq) + NaOH(aq) → Na⁺(aq) + CH₃CO₂(aq) + H₂O(l)
25.19 mL NaOH × $\frac{0.1025 \text{ mol NaCH}}{1 \text{ mol MgCH}} = 2.582 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol CH}_3CO_2H$
 $M = \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{ L}} = \frac{2.582 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol CH}_3CO_2H}{0.0345 \text{ L}} = 7.469 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}$ acetic acid
2-80 H₂C₂O₄(aq) + 2 NaOH(aq) → Na₂C₂O₄(aq) + 2 H₂O(l)
25.00 mL H₂C₂O₄ × $\frac{0.2043 \text{ mol H}_2C_2O_4}{1000 \text{ mL}} = 5.108 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol H}_2C_2O_4$
5.108 × 10⁻³ mol H₂C₂O₄ × $\frac{2 \text{ mol NaOH}}{1000 \text{ mL}} = 1.022 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol NaOH}$

$$M = \frac{\text{mol}}{L} = \frac{1.022 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol NaOH}}{0.01042 \text{ L}} = 0.9808 \text{ M NaOH}$$

2-81 H₂SO₄(aq) + 2 NH₃(aq)
$$\rightarrow$$
 (NH₄)₂SO₄(aq)
10.89 mL NH₃ x $\frac{0.01043 \text{ mol NH}_3}{1000 \text{ ml}_3}$ = 1.136 x 10⁻⁴ mol NH₃
1.136 × 10⁻⁴ mol NH₃ × $\frac{1 \text{ mol H}_2SO_4}{2 \text{ mol NH}_3}$ = 5.680 × 10⁻⁵ mol H₂SO₄
 $\frac{5.680 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol H}_2SO_4}{0.0985 \frac{\text{mol H}_2SO_4}{\text{L}}}$ = 5.77 × 10⁻⁴ L × $\frac{1000 \text{ mL}}{1 \text{ L}}$ = 0.577 mL H₂SO₄

2-82
$$C_6H_{12}O_6(aq) + 5 IO_4^{-}(aq) \rightarrow 5 IO_3^{-}(aq) + 5 HCO_2H(aq) + H_2CO(aq)$$

25.0 mL $IO_4^{-} \times \frac{0.750 \text{ mol } IO_4^{-}}{1000 \text{ mL}} = 0.0188 \text{ mol } IO_4^{-}$
0.0188 mol $IO_4^{-} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } C_6H_{12}O_6}{5 \text{ mol } IO_4^{-}} = 3.75 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } C_6H_{12}O_6$
 $M = \frac{\text{mol}}{L} = \frac{3.75 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } C_6H_{12}O_6}{0.0100 \text{ L}} = 0.375 \text{ MC} _6H_{12}O_6$

2-84 The empirical formula of the reactant compound:

$$31.9 \text{ g K} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol K}}{39.098 \text{ g}} = 0.816 \text{ mol K}$$

 $28.9 \text{ g Cl} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol Cl}}{35.453 \text{ g}} = 0.815 \text{ mol Cl}$
 $39.2 \text{ g O} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol O}}{15.999 \text{ g}} = 2.45 \text{ mol O}$
divide by smallest:
 $\frac{0.816}{0.815} = 1 \text{ mol K}$
 $\frac{0.815}{0.815} = 1 \text{ mol Cl}$
 $\frac{2.45}{0.815} = 3 \text{ mol O}$
The empirical formula of the reactant compound is KCIO₃.
The empirical formula of the product compound is:
 $52.4 \text{ g K} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol K}}{20.900} = 1.34 \text{ mol K}$

52.4 g K×
$$\frac{39.098 \text{ g}}{39.098 \text{ g}}$$
=1.34 mol K
47.6 g Cl× $\frac{1 \text{ mol Cl}}{35.453 \text{ g}}$ =1.34 mol Cl

Therefore, the product compound is KCI, and the balanced equation for the decomposition is $2 \text{ KCIO}_3(s) \rightarrow 2 \text{ KCI}(s) + 3 \text{ O}_2(g)$.

% CO₂ by mass in each of the metal carbonates= $\frac{44.009 \text{ g CO}_2}{\text{MW metal carbonate}} \times 100$ 2-85 Carbonate MW (g/mol) $\% CO_2$ Li₂CO₃ 73.89 59.56 (a) MgCO₃ (b) 84.31 52.20 CaCO₃ 100.09 43.97 (c) ZnCO₃ 125.40 35.10 * (d) BaCO₃ 197.34 22.30 (e) 2-86 % CO₂ from CaCO₃=43.97% (see problem 2-85) CaO remaining is 56.03% grams CaCO₃=42.670-35.351=7.319 g CaCO₃ grams CO₂ evolved=(0.4397)(7.319 g)=3.218 g CO₂ gram residue=7.319-3.218=4.101 g CaO residue Theoretical mass crucible + residue=35.351+4.101=39.452 g 2-87 $3 \text{ Mg(s)} + \text{N}_2(g) \rightarrow \text{Mg}_3\text{N}_2(s)$ $Mg_3N_2(s) + 6 H_2O(I) \rightarrow 3 Mg(OH)_2(aq) + 2 NH_3(aq)$ $15.0 \text{ g } \text{NH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol NH}_{3}}{17.031 \text{ g}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol Mg}_{3} \text{N}_{2}}{2 \text{ mol NH}_{3}} \times \frac{3 \text{ mol Mg}}{1 \text{ mol Mg}_{3} \text{N}_{2}} \times \frac{24.305 \text{ g Mg}}{1 \text{ mol Mg}} = 32.1 \text{ g Mg}$ $C_4H_{10}O(l) + 6 O_2(g) \rightarrow 4 CO_2(g) + 5 H_2O(g)$ 2-88

In the original flask there was a total of 7 moles of reactants: 1 mole of $C_4H_{10}O(I)$ and 6 moles of $O_{2(g)}$. After complete reaction, 9 moles of products formed: 4 moles of $CO_2(g)$ and 5 moles of $H_2O(g)$. The number of molecules increases.

2-89
$$CuS + 2 H_2SO_4(aq) \rightarrow CuSO_4(aq) + SO_2(g) + 2 H_2O(I)$$

$$2 CuSO_4(aq) + 5 I^{-}(aq) \rightarrow 2 CuI(s) + I_3^{-}(aq) + 2 SO_4^{2-}$$

$$I_3^{-}(aq) + 2 S_2O_3^{2-}(aq) \rightarrow 3 I^{-}(aq) + S_4O_6^{2-}(aq)$$

$$31.5 \text{ mL} \times \frac{1.00 \text{ mol } S_2O_3^{2-}}{1000 \text{ ml}} = 0.0315 \text{ mol } S_2O_3^{2-}$$

$$\% Cu=0.0315 \text{ mol } S_2O_3^{2-} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } I_3^{-}}{2 \text{ mol } S_2O_3^{2-}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol } CuSO_4}{1 \text{ mol } I_3^{-}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } CuSO_4}{1 \text{ mol } CuSO_4}$$

$$\times \frac{63.546 \text{ g } \Omega_1}{1 \text{ mol } Cu} \times \frac{1}{2.50 \text{ g sample}} \times 100 = 80.0\%$$

2-90 When the teaspoon of water is added to the glass of pure methanol and thoroughly stirred, a solution is formed. In this case, the water is the solute and the methanol is the solvent. A teaspoon of this new solution would consist of methanol and water. Now, add this teaspoon of solution to the original glass of water. Since this teaspoon does not contain pure methanol, the volume of methanol added to the glass of water would be less than the volume of pure water added to the glass of methanol. Answer (a) is true.

2-92



2-93 The intensity of the color red in the final solution depends on how much Br₂ is formed. In each experiment Br⁻ is the limiting reagent. For the first experiment 0.01 mole of Br⁻ react with 0.02 mole of Cl₂. In the second experiment 0.01 mole of Br⁻ reacts with 0.05 mole of Cl₂. Since the amount of Br⁻ is the same in both experiments the amount of Br₂ formed in each case will be the same. Thus, both solutions will have the same shade of red.