## **SOLUTIONS MANUAL**



## **Chapter 2**

## **The Mole: The Link Between the Macroscopic and the Atomic World of Chemistry**

- 2-1 The mass of the container and the contents will not change. The same number of atoms of each element will be present after burning the candle. However, the elements will be combined differently as product molecules.
- 2-2 The same number of atoms will be present. The atoms which made up the molecules of liquid gasoline have been changed chemically to gaseous molecules. But the same number of atoms are still present, just in a different chemical form.
- 2-3 Mass is conserved because atoms are not created or destroyed in a chemical reaction. Rather their arrangement changes to form different chemical compounds.
- 2-4 Lavoisier observed that the mass of the products of a chemical reaction is the same as the mass of the reactants one starts with.
- 2-5 All the atoms which start out on the reactant side of a chemical reaction equation must be accounted for on the product side of the reaction equation.
- 2-6 Two gaseous hydrogen molecules and one gaseous oxygen molecule can react to form two gaseous water molecules. This is the same reaction except that the product is liquid water: Two gaseous hydrogen molecules and one gaseous oxygen molecule can react to form two liquid water molecules.
- 2-7 A solid molecule of potassium iodide can react to form an aqueous plus one potassium ion and an aqueous minus one iodine ion.
- 2-8 One gaseous molecule of carbon dioxide and one liquid water molecule can react to form an aqueous molecule of carbonic acid.
- 2-9 The number of carbon and hydrogen atoms is conserved. The number of molecules is not conserved. There are two reactant molecules, but only one product molecule.
- 2-10 A gaseous molecule of hydrogen and a gaseous molecule of chlorine will react to give two gaseous hydrogen chloride molecules. When chlorine and hydrogen react, one mole of each will be consumed and two moles of hydrogen chloride are formed.
- 2-11 Reactants: 3 Ca (3x40.078 amu) + N<sub>2</sub> (28.014 amu) = 134.248 amu Products: Ca<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (3x40.078 amu+2x14.007)=134.248 amu When using mole quantities the amu unit can be replaced with a grams unit and the numbers remain the same.

2-12 (a) 
$$
2 \text{ mol H}_2 \times \frac{2 \text{ H}}{\text{H}_2} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ atoms}}{\text{mol}} = 2.4088 \times 10^{24} \text{ Hatoms}
$$
  
\n $1 \text{mol O}_2 \times \frac{2 \text{O}}{\text{O}_2} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ atoms}}{\text{mol}} = 1.2044 \times 10^{24} \text{ O atoms}$   
\n(b)  $2 \text{mol H}_2 \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecules}}{\text{mol}} = 1.2044 \times 10^{24} \text{ H}_2 \text{ molecules}$   
\n $1 \text{mol O}_2 \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecules}}{\text{mol}} = 6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ O}_2 \text{ molecules}$   
\n $\left(2 \text{mol H}_2\text{O} \times \frac{2 \text{H atoms}}{\text{H}_2\text{O molecule}} + 2 \text{mol H}_2\text{O} \times \frac{1 \text{O atom}}{\text{H}_2\text{O molecule}}\right) \times$ 

$$
\frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ atoms}}{\text{mol}} = 3.6132 \times 10^{24} \text{ atoms}
$$

2 moles of product water are formed<br> $4.9<sup>23</sup>$  malage line

(c) 
$$
2 \text{ mol } H_2O \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecules}}{\text{mol}} = 1.2044 \times 10^{24} \text{ H}_2O \text{ molecules}
$$

2-13 (a) 
$$
4 \text{ Cr}(s) + 3 \text{ O}_2(g) \rightarrow 2 \text{ Cr}_2\text{O}_3(s)
$$

(b)  $\text{SiH}_4(g) \to \text{Si}(s) + 2 \text{ H}_2(g)$ 

(c) 
$$
2 \text{ SO}_3(g) \rightarrow 2 \text{ SO}_2(g) + \text{O}_2(g)
$$

2-14 (a) 2 Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(s) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 2 PbO(s) + 4 NO<sub>2</sub>(g) + O<sub>2</sub>(g)  
\n(b) NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>(s)  $\rightarrow$  N<sub>2</sub>(g) + 2 H<sub>2</sub>O(g)  
\n(c) (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>(s)  $\rightarrow$  Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(s) + 4 H<sub>2</sub>O(g) + N<sub>2</sub>(g)

2-15 (a) 
$$
CH_4(g) + 2 O_2(g) \rightarrow CO_2(g) + 2 H_2O(g)
$$
  
\n(b)  $2 H_2S(g) + 3 O_2(g) \rightarrow 2 H_2O(g) + 2 SO_2(g)$   
\n(c)  $2 B_5H_9(g) + 12 O_2(g) \rightarrow 5 B_2O_3(s) + 9 H_2O(g)$ 

2-16 (a) 
$$
PF_3(g) + 3 H_2O(l) \rightarrow H_3PO_3(aq) + 3 HF(aq)
$$
  
\n(b)  $P_4O_{10}(s) + 6 H_2O(l) \rightarrow 4 H_3PO_4(aq)$ 

2-17 (a) 
$$
2 C_3 H_8(g) + 10 O_2(g) \rightarrow 6 CO_2(g) + 8 H_2 O(g)
$$

(b) 
$$
C_2H_5OH(l) + 3 O_2(g) \rightarrow 2 CO_2(g) + 3 H_2O(g)
$$

(c) 
$$
C_6H_{12}O_6(s) + 6O_2(g) \rightarrow 6CO_2(g) + 6H_2O(l)
$$

2-18 From 5 mol O<sub>2</sub> x 
$$
\frac{2 \text{ mol CO}_2}{1 \text{ mol O}_2}
$$
 = 10 mol CO<sub>2</sub>

2-19 Decreases; fewer moles of product than reactant

2-20 12 mol Cu x 
$$
\frac{1 \text{ mol CuO}}{1 \text{ mol Cu}}
$$
 = 12 mol CuO

2-21  $CS_2(I) + 3 O_2(g) \rightarrow CO_2(g) + 2 SO_2(g)$ A balanced chemical equation represents the molecular ratios and molar ratios of the reactants and

products. Therefore, 500 molecules CS  $_2 \times \frac{3 \text{ molecules O}_2}{4 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{cm} \cdot \text{C}}$  $\frac{1}{1}$  molecule CS<sub>2</sub> = 1500 molecules O<sub>2</sub>  $3 \text{ mol } \Omega$ 

5.00 mol CS 
$$
_{2}
$$
 ×  $\frac{3 \text{ mol } \text{O}_{2}}{1 \text{ mol } \text{CS }_{2}}$  = 15.0 mol O  $_{2}$ 

2-22 3 MnO<sub>2</sub>(s) → Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(s) + O<sub>2</sub>(g)  
6.75 mol MnO x 
$$
\frac{1 \text{ mol O}_2}{3 \text{ mol MnO}_2}
$$
 = 2.25 mol O<sub>2</sub>

- 2-23  $Fe_2O_3(s) + 3 CO(g) \rightarrow 2 Fe(s) + 3 CO_2(g)$ 3.00 mol Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  $\times \frac{3 \text{ mol }CO}{1 \text{ mol }Fe}$  $\frac{6 \text{ m/s} \cdot \text{C}}{1 \text{ mol Fe}_2\text{O}_3}$  = 9.00 mol CO
- 2-24 1. Convert grams of CO reacted to moles of CO. 2. Relate moles of CO reacted to moles of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  produced. 3. Convert moles CO<sub>2</sub> to grams.

2-25 2 HgO(s) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 2 Hg(l) + O<sub>2</sub>(g)  
25 g Hg x  $\frac{1 \text{ mol Hg}}{200.59 \text{ g}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol O}_2}{2 \text{ mol Hg}} \times \frac{31.998 \text{ g O}_2}{1 \text{ mol O}_2} = 2.0 \text{ g O}_2$ 

2-26 CH<sub>4</sub>(g) + 2 O<sub>2</sub>(g) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 CO<sub>2</sub>(g) + 2 H<sub>2</sub>O(g)  
\n10. 0 g CH<sub>4</sub> ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mol } CH_4}{16.043 g}$  ×  $\frac{2 \text{ mol } O_2}{1 \text{ mol } CH_4}$  ×  $\frac{31.998 g O_2}{1 \text{ mol } O_2}$  = 39.9 g O<sub>2</sub> consumed.  
\n10. 0 g CH<sub>4</sub> ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mol } CH_4}{16.043 g}$  ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mol } CO_2}{1 \text{ mol } CH_4}$  ×  $\frac{44.009 g CO_2}{1 \text{ mol } CO_2}$  = 27. 4 g CO<sub>2</sub> produced.

$$
2-27 \quad Zn(s) + S(s) \rightarrow ZnS(s)
$$
  
10.0 lb Zn x  $\frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ lb}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } Zn}{65.39 \text{ g}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } S}{1 \text{ mol } Zn} \times \frac{32.066 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol } S} \times \frac{1 \text{ lb}}{453.6 \text{ g}} = 4.90 \text{ lb } S$ 

2-28 2 KClO<sub>3</sub>(s) → 2 KCl(s) + 3 O<sub>2</sub>(s)  
25.0 g KClO<sub>3</sub> × 
$$
\frac{1 \text{ mol KClO}_3}{122.548 \text{ g}} \times \frac{3 \text{ mol O}_2}{2 \text{ mol KClO}_3} \times \frac{31.998 \text{ g O}_2}{1 \text{ mol O}_2} = 9.79 \text{ g O}_2
$$

2-29 1.00 g Cr 
$$
\times \frac{1 \text{ mol Cr}}{51.996 \text{ g}}
$$
 = 0.0192 mol Cr  $\frac{0.0192}{0.0192}$  = 1.00 = 1 mol Cr  
0.923 g O  $2 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol O}}{31.998 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol O}}{1 \text{ mol O}_2}$  = 0.0577 mol O  $\frac{0.0577}{0.0192}$  = 3.00 = 3 mol O  
Therefore the predicted formula for the compound is CrO<sub>3</sub>.

2-30  $C_6H_{12}O_6(aq) \to 2 C_2H_5OH(aq) + 2 CO_2(g)$ 1.00 kg C  $_6H_{12}O_6 \times \frac{1000 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ kg}}$ 1 kg  $\times \frac{1 \text{ mol C }_{6}H_{12}O_{6}}{122.17}$ 180.155 g  $\times \frac{2 \text{ mol C }_2 \text{H}_5 \text{OH}}{2 \text{ H}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2}$ 1 mol C  $_{6}$ H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>6</sub>  $\times \frac{46.068 \text{ g C} \quad _2H_5OH}{4}$ 1 mol C  $_2$  H $_5$  OH  $\times \frac{1 \text{ kg}}{1222}$ 1000 g  $= 0.511$  kg C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH

2-31 Molecular weight of bauxite, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> · 2 H<sub>2</sub>O = 137.991 
$$
\frac{9}{\text{mol}}
$$
  
\n1 ton ×  $\frac{2000 \text{ lb}}{1 \text{ ton}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ lb}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol Al }_{2}\text{O}_{3} \cdot 2 \text{ H}_{2}\text{O}}{137.991 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol Al}}{1 \text{ mol Al }_{2}\text{O}_{3} \cdot 2 \text{ H}_{2}\text{O}}$   
\n $\times \frac{26.982 \text{ g Al}}{1 \text{ mol Al}} \times \frac{1 \text{ lb Al}}{453.6 \text{ g}} = 782.1 \text{ lb Al}$ 

2-32 
$$
Ca_{3}P_{2}(s) + 6 H_{2}O(l) \rightarrow 3 Ca(OH)_{2}(aq) + 2 PH_{3}(g)
$$
  
10. 0 g Ca<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub> ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mol } Ca_{3}P_{2}}{182 \cdot 182 g}$  ×  $\frac{2 \text{ mol } PH_{3}}{1 \text{ mol } Ca_{3}P_{2}}$  ×  $\frac{33.998 g PH_{3}}{1 \text{ mol } PH_{3}} = 3.73 g PH_{3}$ 

2-33 
$$
PCI_3(g) + 3 H_2O(l) \rightarrow 3 HCl(aq) + H_3PO_3(aq)
$$
  
15.0 g PCI<sub>3</sub> ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mol } PCI_3}{137.333 g}$  ×  $\frac{3 \text{ mol } HCl}{1 \text{ mol } PCI_3}$  ×  $\frac{36.461 g HCl}{1 \text{ mol } HCl}$  = 11.9 g HCl

2-34 
$$
N_2(g) + 3 H_2(g) \rightarrow 2 NH_3(g)
$$
  
\n4  $NH_3(g) + 5 O_2(g) \rightarrow 4 NO(g) + 6 H_2O(g)$   
\n2  $NO(g) + O_2 \rightarrow 2 NO_2(g)$   
\n3  $NO_2(g) + H_2O(l) \rightarrow 2 HNO_3(aq) + NO(g)$   
\n150  $g HNO_3 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol HNO}_3}{63.012 g} \times \frac{3 \text{ mol NO}_2}{2 \text{ mol HNO}_3} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol NO}}{2 \text{ mol NO}_2} \times \frac{4 \text{ mol NH}_3}{4 \text{ mol NO}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol N}_2}{2 \text{ mol NH}_3} \times \frac{28.014 \text{ g N}_2}{1 \text{ mol N}_2} = 50.0 g N_2$ 

2-35 2 H<sub>2</sub>(g) + O<sub>2</sub>(g) → 2 H<sub>2</sub>O(l)  
\n500 H<sub>2</sub> molecules require  
\n500 molecules H<sub>2</sub> x 
$$
\frac{1 \text{ molecule O}}{2 \text{ molecules H } \frac{1}{2}}
$$
 = 250 molecules O<sub>2</sub> to react completely.  
\nSince 500 O<sub>2</sub> molecules are present. H<sub>2</sub> is the limiting reacent and based upon the

Since 500  $O_2$  molecules are present,  $H_2$  is the limiting reagent and based upon the stoichiometric coefficients, 500 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules would be produced. If the amount of O<sub>2</sub> doubles, the yield remains 500 molecules H<sub>2</sub>O. If the amount of H<sub>2</sub> doubles then the yield is 1000 molecules H<sub>2</sub>O.

2-36 4 P<sub>4</sub>(s) + 5 S<sub>8</sub>(s) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 4 P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>10</sub>(s)  
0.500 mol P<sub>4</sub>  $\times$   $\frac{4 \text{ mol } P_4 S_{10}}{4 \text{ mol } P_4}$  = 0.500 mol P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>10</sub>  
0.500 S<sub>8</sub>  $\times$   $\frac{4 \text{ mol } P_4 S_{10}}{5 \text{ mol } S_8}$  = 0.400 mol P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>10</sub>

Since S<sub>8</sub> produces fewer moles of P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>10</sub>, it is the limiting reagent. If P<sub>4</sub> is doubled, S<sub>8</sub> is still the limiting reagent, and the amount of  $\mathsf{P}_4\mathsf{S}_{10}$  produced would remain unchanged. If  $\mathsf{S}_8$  is doubled, then  $P_4$  becomes the limiting reagent and the yield of  $P_4S_{10}$  would be 0.500 mol.

2-37 2 NO(g) + O<sub>2</sub>(g) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 2 NO<sub>2</sub>(g)  
0.35 mol NO x  $\frac{2 \text{ mol NO}}{2 \text{ mol NO}} = 0.35 \text{ mol NO}_2$   
0.25 mol O<sub>2</sub> x  $\frac{2 \text{ mol NO}}{1 \text{ mol O}_2} = 0.50 \text{ mol NO}_2$ 

NO produces fewer moles of NO<sub>2</sub>, therefore it is the limiting reagent. If NO were increased the yield of NO<sub>2</sub> would increase. If O<sub>2</sub> were increased, there would be no change in the yield of NO<sub>2</sub>.

2-38 
$$
H_2(g) + Cl_2(g) \rightarrow 2 \text{ HCl}(g)
$$
  
\n10.0 g  $H_2 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } H_2}{2.0158 g} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol } HCl}{1 \text{ mol } H_2} = 9.92 \text{ mol } HCl$   
\n10.0 g  $Cl_2 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } Cl_2}{70.906 g} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol } HCl}{1 \text{ mol } Cl_2} = 0.282 \text{ mol } HCl$ 

Cl<sub>2</sub> produces fewer moles of HCl, therefore it is the limiting reagent.

0.282 mol HCl × 
$$
\frac{36.46g}{mol}
$$
 = 10.3 g HCl

To increase the amount of HCl produced, the amount of Cl<sub>2</sub> would have to be increased.

2-39 3 Ca(s) + N<sub>2</sub>(g) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 Ca<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>(s)  
\n54.9 g Ca x  $\frac{1 \text{ mol Ca}}{40.078 \text{ g}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol Ca}_3 \text{N}_2}{3 \text{ mol Ca}} = 0.457 \text{ mol Ca}_3 \text{N}_2$ ,  
\n43.2 N<sub>2</sub> x  $\frac{1 \text{ mol N}_2}{28.014 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol N}}{1 \text{ mol N}_2} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol Ca}_3 \text{N}_2}{2 \text{ mol N}} = 1.54 \text{ mol Ca}_3 \text{N}_2$ ,  
\nCa produces fewer moles of Ca<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, therefore it is the limiting reagent.  
\nThe mass of Ca<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> produced is 0.457 mol Ca<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> x  $\frac{148.248 \text{ Ca}_3 \text{N}_2}{1 \text{ mol Ca}_3 \text{N}_2} = 67.7 \text{ g}$ .

2-40 2 
$$
PF_3(g) + XeF_4(s) \rightarrow 2 PF_5(g) + Xe(g)
$$
  
\n100.0 g  $PF_3 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } PF_3}{87.968 g} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol } PF_5}{2 \text{ mol } PF_3} = 1.137 \text{ mol } PF_5$   
\n50.0 g  $XeF_4 \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } XeF_4}{207.28 g} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol } PF_5}{1 \text{ mol } XeF_4} = 0.482 \text{ mol } PF_5$   
\n $XeF_4$  produces fewer moles of  $PF_5$ ; therefore, it is the limiting reagent and 0.482 moles of  $PF_5$  would be produced.

2-41 2 Al(s) + 3 Hg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(I) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 2 Al(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(I) + 3 Hg(I)  
\n5.00 g Al ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mol Al}}{26.982 \text{ g}}$  ×  $\frac{2 \text{ mol Al(CH3)3}{2 \text{ mol Al}}$  = 0.185 mol Al(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>  
\n25.0 g Hg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mol Hg(CH3)2}{230.66 \text{ g}}$  ×  $\frac{2 \text{ mol Al(CH3)3}{3 \text{ mol Hg(CH3)2}}$   
\n= 0.0723 mol Al(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>  
\nHg(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> produces fewer moles of Al(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, therefore, it is the limiting reagent. The amount of Al(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> produced is

0.0723 mol Al(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> x 
$$
\frac{72.086 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ mol Al (CH}_3)_3}
$$
 = 5.21 g Al(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

2-42  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  $(s) + 2$  Al(s)  $\rightarrow$  Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(s) + 2 Fe(l) 150 g Al x  $\overline{a}$ 1 mol Al  $\frac{1 \text{ mol Al}}{26.982 \text{ g}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol Fe}}{2 \text{ mol Al}}$  $\frac{2 \text{ mol} + 5}{2 \text{ mol Al}}$  = 5.56 mol Fe, 250 g Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> x 1 mol Fe $_{2}$ O $_{3}$ 159 .691 g Fe <sup>2</sup> O3 x 2 mol Fe  $\frac{2 \text{ m} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{m}}{1 \text{ mol Fe}_2\text{O}_3}$  = 3.13 mol Fe, Fe2O3 produces fewer moles of Fe; therefore it is the limiting reagent. The amount of Fe produced is 3.13 mol Fe x 55.847 g  $\frac{1010119}{1 \text{ mol Fe}}$  = 175 g Fe.

2-43 10.0 cm<sup>3</sup> x 7.9 g  $\frac{9}{cm}$  = 79 g  $5.0$  cm $^3$  x 10.5 g  $\frac{9}{cm}$  = 53 g The sample of iron weighs more.

2-44 
$$
\frac{100 \text{ g}}{8.8 \text{ mL}} = 11 \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}}
$$
: The first strip is Pb.  $\frac{100 \text{ g}}{37.0 \text{ mL}} = 2.70 \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}}$ : The second strip is Al.

- 2-45  $\overline{a}$ 271 g  $\frac{20.0 \text{ mL}}{20.0 \text{ mL}}$  = 13.6 g cm
- 2-46 5.6 mL x 13.6 g  $\frac{9}{5}$  = 76 g
- 2-47 A **solution** is a homogeneous mixture of two or more components. The **solvent** is the component of a solution in largest relative amount. The **solute** is the component of a solution in smaller relative amount. Brine is a solution of the solute sodium chloride in the solvent water.
- 2-48 Both (b) and (c) are homogeneous mixtures.
- 2-49  $H_2C_2O_4 \cdot 2 H_2O$  MW=126.063 g mol 1. Calculate the number of moles oxalic acid needed  $0.125 \text{ L x}$   $\frac{0.745 \text{ mol}}{4!}$   $= 0.0931 \text{ mol}$  $1 L$

2. Find what chemical is available.

Oxalic acid dihydrate,  $H_2C_2O_4 \cdot 2 H_2O$ , FW=126.154  $\overline{ }$ g mol

3. Weigh out the quantity needed.

0.0931 mol x 126.154 g  $\frac{9}{2}$  = 11.7 g oxalic acid dihydrate

4. Quantitavely transfer this amount of oxalic acid to a 250 mL volumetric flask and dissolve it in deionized water. Mix well. Dilute to 250 mL in the volumetric flask.

2-50 27.3 g HCl x 
$$
\frac{1 \text{ mol } HG}{36.461 \text{ g } HCl}
$$
 = 0.749 mol HCl  
M= $\frac{\text{moles}}{\text{liter}}$  =  $\frac{0.749 \text{ mol}}{0.125 \text{ L}}$  = 5.99 M

2-51 0.00019 g AgCl × 
$$
\frac{1 \text{ mol AgCl}}{143.32 \text{ g}}
$$
 = 1.3 × 10<sup>-6</sup> mol AgCl  
\n
$$
M = \frac{\text{moles}}{\text{liter}} = \frac{1.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol}}{0.100 \text{ L}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}
$$

2-52 252 g NH<sub>3</sub> 
$$
\times \frac{1 \text{ mol NH}_3}{17.031 \text{ g}}
$$
 = 14. 8 mol NH<sub>3</sub>  
M= $\frac{\text{moles}}{\text{L}}$  =  $\frac{14.8 \text{ mol}}{1 \text{ L}}$  = 14.8 M

2-53 1.60 mg
$$
\times \frac{1 \text{ g}}{1000 \text{ mg}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol cholesterol}}{386.67 \text{ g}} = 4.14 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol}
$$
  

$$
M = \frac{\text{mol}}{L} = \frac{4.14 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol}}{0.100 \text{ L}} = 4.14 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}
$$

2-54 5.77 g Cl<sub>2</sub> 
$$
\times \frac{1 \text{ mol Cl}_2}{70.906 \text{ g}} = 0.0814 \text{ mol Cl}_2
$$
  
M= $\frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} = \frac{0.0814 \text{ mol}}{1.00 \text{ L}} = 0.0814 \text{ M}$ 

2-55 
$$
\frac{0.150 \text{ mol}}{1 \text{ L}} \times 0.500 \text{ L} = 0.0750 \text{ mol Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \text{ needed}
$$
  
0.0750 mol Na}\_2\text{SO}\_4 \times \frac{142.042 g Na}\_1\text{SO}\_4}{1 \text{ mol Na}\_2\text{SO}\_4} = 10.7 g Na}\_2\text{SO}\_4

- 2-56 1.00 L of water plus 1.00 mol of  $K_2CrO_4$  may not be 1.00 L of solution. The student probably made more than 1.00 L of solution, so the solution was less than 1.00 M. A 1.00 liter sample of a 1.00 mol solute per liter solution must be prepared by placing the solute in a container calibrated to hold 1.000 liter. Add water to the container (volumetric flask) to dissolve the solute. Thoroughly mix the solution. Continue to add more water (solvent) until the liquid level has been brought to the calibration mark.
- 2-57 Assuming the volumes in solution preparation are additive then 458 mL+800 mL= 1.258L

$$
\frac{92 \text{ g Na}_2^2 \text{ C}_2^2 \text{ C}_7 \cdot 2 \text{ H}_2^2 \text{ C}_2 \cdot 1 \text{ mol Na}_2^2 \text{ C}_2^2 \text{ C}_7 \cdot 2 \text{ H}_2^2 \text{ C}_7 \cdot
$$

2-58 
$$
\frac{20 \text{ ng}}{\text{ml}} \times \frac{10^{-9} \text{ g}}{\text{ng}} \times \frac{1000 \text{ mL}}{\text{L}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol}}{315 \text{ g}} = 6.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}
$$

2-59 1.25 g KCl 0.500 L  $\times \frac{1 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{KCl}}{1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \$ 74.551 g KCl 0.0335 M KCl . To make it twice as concentrated either add twice as much KCl or use half as much water.

2-60 
$$
\frac{2.75 \text{ g AgNO}_3}{0.250 \text{ L}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol AgNO}_3}{169.87 \text{ g AgNO}_3} = 0.0648 \text{ M AgNO}_3.
$$
 To make it half as concentrated either add half as much KCl or use twice as much water.

2-61 1.25 
$$
\frac{maj}{L} \times 0.250
$$
 L  $\times \frac{39.997 \text{ g NaOH}}{1 \text{ mol NaOH}} = 12.5 \text{ g NaOH}$ 

2-62  $0.50 \frac{mol}{L} \times 0.500 L = 0.25 mol$ 

2-63 0.50 
$$
\frac{m\omega}{L} \times 0.500 \text{ L} \times \frac{39.997 \text{ g NaOH}}{1 \text{ mol NaOH}} = 10.9 \text{ NaOH}
$$

2-64 The 0.25 M solution is more concentrated since it is the higher molarity; more moles per liter.

2-65 
$$
\frac{0.25 \text{ g CuSO}_4}{0.125 \text{ L}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol CuSO}_4}{159.608 \text{ g CuSO}_4} = 0.0125 \text{ M CuSO}_4.
$$
 The solution is prepared by weighing out

0.25 grams and placing into a 125 mL flask. The flask is then filled with water until the 125 mL mark is reached.

2-66 (a) 
$$
\frac{0.275 \text{ g AgNO}_3}{0.500 \text{ L}} \times \frac{1 \text{mol AgNO}_3}{169.87 \text{ g AgNO}_3} = 0.00324 \text{ M AgNO}_3
$$

(b) 
$$
0.00324 \frac{mol}{L} AgNO_3 \times \frac{0.0100 L}{0.500 L} = 6.48 \times 10^{-5} M AgNO_3
$$

(c) 
$$
6.48x10^{-5} \frac{mol}{L} AgNO_3 \times \frac{0.0100 L}{0.250 L} = 2.60x10^{-6} MAgNO_3
$$

2-67 0.10  $\frac{mol}{L}$  HCl×0.500 L ×  $\frac{11}{12.0 \text{ mo}}$ 12.0 mol HCl  $= 0.0042$  L. 4.2 mL of 12.0 M HCl would be diluted to 500 mL. The resulting solution will be 0.10 M HCl.

2-68 0.050 
$$
\frac{m\omega}{L}
$$
 CUSQ<sub>4</sub>  $\times \frac{xL}{2 \cdot xL} = 0.025$  M CUSQ<sub>4</sub>

2-69 18.0 
$$
\frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}} H_2 \text{SQ}_4 \times \frac{0.100 \text{ L}}{0.500 \text{ L}} = 3.60 \text{ M} H_2 \text{SQ}_4
$$

2-70 0.10  $\frac{mol}{L}$  HCl×0.250 L ×  $\frac{11}{6.0 \text{ mol}}$ 6.0 mol HCl 0.0042 L . 4.2 mL of 6.0 M HCl would be diluted to 250 mL. The resulting solution will be 0.10 M HCl.

2-71 0.050 
$$
\frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}}
$$
 NaCl  $\times \frac{0.100 \text{ L}}{0.250 \text{ L}} = 0.020 \text{ M}$  NaCl

2-72 1.20  $\frac{mol}{L}$  KF  $\times$  0.100 L = 0.120 mol KF are present in the initial solution. If you want a final concentration of 0.45 M, what volume must contain the 0.120 mol? 0.120 mol  $\times \frac{11}{11}$ 0.45 mol  $= .267$  L is the final volume that the 100 mL is diluted to.

2-73 1.0 
$$
\frac{mdl}{L} \times \frac{1.0 L}{1.75 L} = 0.57 M
$$
  
2-74  $M_1 V_1 = M_2 V_2$   
(1.00 L)(3.00 M)=(x L)(17.4 M)  
 $\frac{(1.00 L)(3.00 M)}{(17.4 M)} = 0.172 L=172 mL of 17.4 M accetic acid is needed.$ 

2-75 
$$
\frac{(15.0 \text{ m})(6.00 \text{ M})}{(25.0 + 15.0) \text{ m}} = 2.25 \text{ M} \text{ HCl}
$$

L

2-76 (0.200 L)(1.25 M)=(x L)(5.94 M)  $(0.200 \quad L)(1.25 \text{ M})$  $\frac{1}{5.94 \text{ M}}$  = x L = 0.0421 L = 42.1 mL

Take about 150 mL distilled water and slowly add 42.1 mL of the  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  with mixing. Bring the solution to a final volume of 200 mL with distilled water and mix. The resulting solution is 1.25 M  $\mathsf{HNO}_{3}$ .

2-77 KCl(aq) + AgNO<sub>3</sub>(aq) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 AgCl(s) + KNO<sub>3</sub>(aq)  
0.430 g AgCl $\times \frac{1 \text{ mol AgCl}}{143.32 \text{ g}} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol KCl}}{1 \text{ mol AgCl}} = 3.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol KCl}$   

$$
M = \frac{\text{mol}}{L} = \frac{3.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}}{0.02500 \text{ L}} = 0.120 \text{ M KCl}
$$

2-78 2 Nal(aq) + Hg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(aq) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 Hg<sub>2</sub>(s) + NaNO<sub>3</sub>(aq)  
\n0.045 L  $\times$   $\frac{0.10 \text{ mol Hg}(NO_3)_2}{1 \text{ L}} = 4.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol Hg}(NO_3)_2$   
\n4.5 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mol Hg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> x  $\frac{2 \text{ mol Nal}}{1 \text{ mol Hg}(NO_3)_2} = 9.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol Nal}$   
\n $\frac{9.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol Nal}}{0.25 \frac{\text{mol Nal}}{\text{mol}}} = 3.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ L} \times \frac{1000 \text{ mL}}{1 \text{ L}} = 36 \text{ mL Nal}$ 

2-79 CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H(aq) + NaOH(aq) → Na<sup>+</sup>(aq) + CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>(aq) + H<sub>2</sub>O(l)  
\n25.19 mL NaOH × 
$$
\frac{0.1025 \text{ mol NaOH}}{1000 \text{ mL}}
$$
 = 2.582 × 10<sup>-3</sup> mol NaOH  
\n2.582×10<sup>-3</sup> mol NaOH ×  $\frac{1 \text{ mol CH}_3CO_2H}{1 \text{ mol NaOH}}$  = 2.582 × 10<sup>-3</sup> mol CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H  
\n $M = \frac{\text{mol}}{L} = \frac{2.582 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol CH}_3CO_2H}{0.03457 \text{ L}} = 7.469 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M acetic acid}$   
\n2-80 H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(aq) + 2 NaOH(aq) → Na<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(aq) + 2 H<sub>2</sub>O(l)

25.00 mL H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> x 
$$
\frac{0.2043 \text{ mol H}_{2}C_{2}O_{4}}{1000 \text{ mL}} = 5.108 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol H}_{2}C_{2}O_{4}
$$

$$
5.108 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol H}_{2}C_{2}O_{4} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol NaOH}}{1 \text{ mol H}_{2}C_{2}O_{4}} = 1.022 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol NaOH}
$$

$$
M = \frac{\text{mol}}{L} = \frac{1.022 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol NaOH}}{0.01042 \text{ L}} = 0.9808 \text{ M NaOH}
$$

2-81 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>(aq) + 2 NH<sub>3</sub>(aq) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>(aq)  
\n10.89 mL NH<sub>3</sub> x  $\frac{0.01043 \text{ mol NH}}{1000 \text{ ml}}$  = 1.136 x 10<sup>-4</sup> mol NH<sub>3</sub>  
\n1.136 x 10<sup>-4</sup> mol NH<sub>3</sub> x  $\frac{1 \text{ mol H}_2SO_4}{2 \text{ mol NH}_3}$  = 5.680 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>  
\n5.680 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>  
\n0.0985  $\frac{\text{mol H}_2SO_4}{L}$  = 5.77 x 10<sup>-4</sup> L x  $\frac{1000 \text{ mL}}{1 \text{ L}}$  = 0.577 mL H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

2-82 
$$
C_6H_{12}O_6(aq) + 5IO_4(aq) \rightarrow 5IO_3(aq) + 5 HCO_2H(aq) + H_2CO(aq)
$$
  
\n25.0 mL  $IO_4^{-} \times \frac{0.750 \text{ mol } IO_4^-}{1000 \text{ mL}} = 0.0188 \text{ mol } IO_4^-$   
\n0.0188 mol  $IO_4^{-} \times \frac{1 \text{ mol } C_6H_{12}O_6}{5 \text{ mol } IO_4^-} = 3.75 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } C_6H_{12}O_6$   
\n $M = \frac{\text{mol}}{L} = \frac{3.75 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } C_6H_{12}O_6}{0.0100 \text{ L}} = 0.375 \text{ M C } _6H_{12}O_6$ 

2-83 3 H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(aq) + 2 CrO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>(aq) + 10 H<sup>+</sup>(aq) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 6 CO<sub>2</sub>(g) + 2 Cr<sup>3+</sup>(aq) + 8 H<sub>2</sub>O  
\n40.0 mL CrO<sub>4</sub><sup>2- $\times$</sup>   $\frac{0.0250 \text{ mol CrO}_4^{2-}}{1000 \text{ mL}}$  = 1.00  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol CrO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>  
\n1.00 $\times$ 10<sup>-3</sup> mol CrO<sub>4</sub><sup>2- $\times$</sup>   $\frac{3 \text{ mol H}_2C_2O_4}{2 \text{ mol CrO}_4^{2-}}$  = 1.50  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>  
\nM= $\frac{\text{mol}}{L}$  =  $\frac{1.50 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol H}_2C_2O_4}{0.0100 \text{ L}}$  = 0.150 M H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

2-84 The empirical formula of the reactant compound: 31.9 g K $\times$   $\frac{1 \text{ mol K}}{22.00 \text{ cm}}$ 

31.9 g K
$$
\times
$$
  $\frac{1 \text{ mol } \cdot \text{C}}{39.098 \text{ g}}$  = 0.816 mol K  
\n28.9 g Cl $\times \frac{1 \text{ mol Cl}}{35.453 \text{ g}}$  = 0.815 mol Cl  
\n39.2 g O $\times \frac{1 \text{ mol } \text{O}}{15.999 \text{ g}}$  = 2.45 mol O  
\ndivide by smallest:  
\n $\frac{0.816}{0.815}$  = 1 mol K  $\frac{0.815}{0.815}$  = 1 mol Cl  $\frac{2.45}{0.815}$  = 3 mol O  
\nThe empirical formula of the reactant compound is KClO<sub>3</sub>.  
\nThe empirical formula of the product compound is:  
\n52.4 g K $\times \frac{1 \text{ mol } \text{K}}{15.4 \text{ m/s}^2}$  = 3 mol K

52.4 g K
$$
\times
$$
  $\frac{11161 \text{ N}}{39.098 \text{ g}}$  = 1.34 mol K  
47.6 g Cl $\times$   $\frac{1 \text{ mol Cl}}{35.453 \text{ g}}$  = 1.34 mol Cl

Therefore, the product compound is KCl, and the balanced equation for the decomposition is 2 KClO<sub>3</sub>(s)  $\rightarrow$  2 KCl(s) + 3 O<sub>2</sub>(g).



In the original flask there was a total of 7 moles of reactants: 1 mole of  $C_4H_{10}O(1)$  and 6 moles of  $O_{2(q)}$ . After complete reaction, 9 moles of products formed: 4 moles of  $CO_{2}(g)$  and 5 moles of  $H_2O(g)$ . The number of molecules increases.

g Mg

2-89   
\nCuS + 2 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>(aq) 
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 CuSO<sub>4</sub>(aq) + SO<sub>2</sub>(g) + 2 H<sub>2</sub>O(l)  
\n2 CuSO<sub>4</sub>(aq) + 5 I'(aq)  $\rightarrow$  2 CuI(s) + I<sub>3</sub>(aq) + 2 SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>  
\nI<sub>3</sub>(aq) + 2 S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>(aq)  $\rightarrow$  3 I'(aq) + S<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup>(aq)  
\n31.5 mL $\times$   $\frac{1.00 \text{ mol } S_2O_3^{2-}}{1000 \text{ ml}}$  = 0.0315 mol S  ${}_{2}O_{3}^{2-}$   
\n% Cu=0.0315 mol S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>  $\times$   $\frac{1 \text{ mol } I_{3}^{-}}{2 \text{ mol } S_{2}O_{3}^{2-}} \times \frac{2 \text{ mol } ClSO_{4}}{1 \text{ mol } I_{3}^{-}}$   $\times \frac{1 \text{ mol } ClU}{1 \text{ mol } ClSO_{4}}$   
\n $\times \frac{63.546 g \text{ Cu}}{1 \text{ mol } ClU} \times \frac{1}{2.50 g \text{ sample}} \times 100 = 80.0\%$ 

2-90 When the teaspoon of water is added to the glass of pure methanol and thoroughly stirred, a solution is formed. In this case, the water is the solute and the methanol is the solvent. A teaspoon of this new solution would consist of methanol and water. Now, add this teaspoon of solution to the original glass of water. Since this teaspoon does not contain pure methanol, the volume of methanol added to the glass of water would be less than the volume of pure water added to the glass of methanol. Answer (a) is true.

2-91 4 Fe(s) + 3 O<sub>2</sub>(g)  $\rightarrow$  2 Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(s)  $3 Fe(s) + 2 O<sub>2</sub>(g) \rightarrow Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(s)$ Moles of Fe reacted: 167.6 g Fe  $\times$   $\frac{1 \text{ mol Fe}}{55.047}$ j  $\frac{118115}{55.847}$  = 3.001 mol Fe Grams of O in the iron oxide: 231.6 g - 167.6 g = 64.0 g O Moles of O in the iron oxide: 64.0 g O x 1 mol O  $\frac{1000 \text{ m}}{15.999 \text{ g}}$  = 4.000 mol O The oxide formed is  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ .

2-92



2-93 The intensity of the color red in the final solution depends on how much Br<sub>2</sub> is formed. In each experiment Br– is the limiting reagent. For the first experiment 0.01 mole of Br– react with 0.02 mole of Cl<sub>2</sub>. In the second experiment 0.01 mole of Br reacts with 0.05 mole of Cl<sub>2</sub>. Since the amount of Br is the same in both experiments the amount of Br<sub>2</sub> formed in each case will be the same. Thus, both solutions will have the same shade of red.